

Political Views Research

Peter Lawrence - scyberclops@gmail.com

4/6/25

Ukraine Political, Economic, and Strategic Landscape

Russian Control vs U.S., Ukraine Partnership

Introduction:

Ukraine's value has shifted dramatically before and after Russia's invasion. This analysis explores the economic, political, and strategic benefits of full U.S. support for Ukraine. It also assesses the risks of allowing Russia to maintain control over Crimea and expand into Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, and Luhansk - effectively turning the Sea of Azov into a Russian-controlled inland sea. Additionally, we examine Vladimir Putin's broader ambitions, including potential influence over Moldova, Romania, Bulgaria, Georgia, Türkiye, and full dominance of the Black Sea.

Strategic Mineral wealth

Rare Earth Elements (REEs)

Thorium, uranium, gold, titanium, lithium, cobalt, nickel, iron, manganese, graphite

Key Locations: Ukraine, Sea of Azov

Geopolitical Objectives & Russian Influence Operations

Geopolitical Focus: Ukraine, Romania, Bulgaria, Georgia, Türkiye

Election Interference and Hybrid Warfare

Military Strategy and Regional Security

Sea of Azov Control: Crimea, Ukraine, Russia

Black Sea Strategy: Crimea, Ukraine, Romania, Bulgaria, Türkiye, Georgia

Russia Black Sea and Sea of Azov Political, Economic, and Military Strategy

Post-War Technological Advances

Modern Drone Warfare & Infrastructure

Part 1

Russia Aggression in The Black Sea Region

Abstract

While much of the concentration of Ukraine's strategic importance has focused on mineral wealth, that may not be foremost. Equally significant is the strategic value of maintaining a stable Republic - a safeguard against authoritarian overreach that protects long-term interests. Strengthening this stability not only deters coercion but also opens doors to valuable economic opportunities, including access to key resources and beneficial alliances.

Russia's aggressive expansion strategy poses a significant threat to global markets, particularly for the United States and its allies and those economic interests. By leveraging military force, economic pressure, and political manipulation, Russia is working to destabilize Eastern Europe and the Black Sea, and establish a sphere of influence that undermines Western economic objectives, disrupt supply chains, and exert energy dominance over Europe. If the U.S. fails to counteract Russian influence, American businesses and global markets could face increased instability, energy price manipulation, and restricted access to strategic resources - forcing European and global markets to become more dependent on Moscow.

For businesses, Russia's aggressive geopolitical strategy translates into increased volatility in energy pricing, restricted access to emerging markets, and a diminished ability for U.S. companies to compete in Eastern Europe and beyond. Its interference in pro-Western nations disrupts economic growth, fosters instability, and makes investment and trade more uncertain. By consolidating control over critical regions like Romania, Bulgaria, and Türkiye - key transit hubs for oil and gas - Russia strengthens its leverage over global energy markets, directly threatening U.S. energy dominance and strategic interests.

This approach mirrors corporate expansion tactics - securing market control, eliminating competition, and leveraging supply chain dependencies. The annexation of Crimea and occupation of key Ukrainian regions, combined with influence operations in Georgia, Moldova, Bulgaria, Romania, and Türkiye, demonstrate a methodical push for economic dominance. By controlling vital

energy routes, such as the Zaporizhzhia Nuclear Power Plant and the TurkStream pipeline, Russia can dictate pricing and distribution, reducing Europe's reliance on U.S. energy exports and complicating Western trade strategies.

If the U.S. and its allies fail to check Russian expansion, businesses could see heightened economic volatility, supply disruptions, and an unfavorable investment climate in Eastern Europe. The erosion of democratic institutions in these regions would further reduce business transparency and increase risks associated with corruption and political instability. To maintain global economic leadership, the U.S. must adopt a proactive strategy - strengthening economic alliances, securing energy independence for its partners, and countering Russian disinformation campaigns that threaten the stability of Western markets.

If anything, the situation may be even more precarious. Russia's long-term play is not just regional dominance but reshaping global power dynamics in ways that could further undermine Western economic and security structures. If the U.S. and its allies fail to counter these maneuvers effectively, the cost will not just be geopolitical - it will be economic, with American businesses and trade taking a significant hit.

These developments, both strategic and military, underline the increasing importance of the Black Sea and Sea of Azov in global security dynamics, particularly in the context of Russia's growing control and nuclear capabilities. Should Russia solidify its hold over territories like Crimea, Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, and Luhansk, it would not only enhance its military and economic position but also significantly impact U.S. and NATO security, particularly with regard to nuclear missile capabilities.

Russia's control of key shipping lanes and energy routes, combined with its ability to deploy nuclear-capable submarines, would provide it with a powerful strategic foothold. The presence of these submarines in both the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea would present a substantial challenge to U.S. missile defense and naval operations, making it harder for NATO to track or neutralize these threats. Furthermore, Russia's ability to utilize strike and evade for missile launches adds another layer of complexity, as these submarines could execute surprise launches and rapidly move to evade detection.

The potential for destabilizing pro-Russian regimes in neighboring countries like Moldova, Bulgaria, Romania, Georgia and Turkey, coupled with Russia's growing influence in these areas, could create a "ring of Russian-aligned states" that bolsters its military capabilities. If these countries fall into Russia's sphere of influence, it would further limit NATO's ability to monitor and respond to threats, effectively isolating Ukraine and increasing Russia's leverage in the region.

The prospect of Russian submarines operating out of the Black Sea and Sea of Azov highlights a critical need for heightened vigilance in the region. The ability to launch nuclear strikes from these areas not only brings Russia closer to U.S. and European targets but also raises the stakes of the geopolitical confrontation.

To mitigate these risks, NATO must strengthen its presence in the region, enhance its missile defense systems, and deepen alliances with countries at risk of falling under Russia's influence. Failure to do so could result in a scenario where Russia can launch devastating strikes from the Black Sea and Sea of Azov, severely compromising Western security and potentially altering the strategic balance in Europe.

Russia

Russia, as authoritarian and ever expanding, is a growing threat to the Republic and free nations worldwide. Its strategy for gaining power – and the upper hand – often hinges on territorial expansion, which eliminates opposition and secures valuable resources. This is done through occupation, invasion, economic pressure, or political manipulation.

Political manipulation has been shown in strategic moves since Putin took power in 2000. Russia's pattern of aggression typically blends conventional military force with economic pressure, political manipulation, and disinformation campaigns – a strategy designed to expand influence by destabilizing neighboring countries and undermining Western alliances – while avoiding full-scale global conflict.

By interfering in elections and fostering political instability, Russia aims to weaken pro-Western governments and disrupt efforts to align these nations with the United States, NATO or the European Union. This creates a buffer zone of

unstable or Russia-leaning states that are less likely to support Western economic objectives.

Putin's regime thrives on centralized control. Pro-democracy movements in neighboring countries threaten that model. By supporting authoritarian-leaning leaders or corrupt political networks, Russia helps create conditions that mirror its own political structure.

Putin's ultimate goal seems to be the consolidation of Russian power across Eastern Europe and parts of the Middle East, creating a geopolitical landscape where Russia can dictate terms and undermine Western influence. His tactics – military force, economic pressure, and political manipulation – are all part of a larger strategy to reassert Russia as a dominant global power.

By disrupting strong, independent republics in places like Ukraine, Moldova, and Georgia, Putin reduces competition and ensures those governments remain weaker and easier to influence. Putin has consistently expressed a desire to reclaim Russia's influence over former Soviet territories. By destabilizing nations like Ukraine, Moldova, and Georgia, he aims to keep these regions politically fractured and economically dependent on Moscow.

Countries like Romania, Bulgaria, and Türkiye play strategic roles in energy transit, particularly for Russian oil and gas pipelines. Influencing these nations helps Russia maintain leverage over Europe's energy supply. By exerting influence there, Putin positions Russia as the dominant supplier – controlling the flow of oil and gas into Europe and gaining leverage over pricing and supply.

Given Russia's history of military aggression, political manipulation, and economic pressure, a reasonable assumption is that Putin is working to expand Russia's sphere of influence by destabilizing neighboring countries and undermining Western alliances. His strategy appears to be driven by several key objectives:

By exploiting social divisions, promoting nationalist movements, and spreading disinformation, Russia seeks to sow discord within Western alliances, reducing their ability to present a united front against Russian aggression.

Much like expanding a company's footprint, Putin is working to secure influence in neighboring countries, particularly former Soviet states. By keeping these nations politically fractured or economically dependent, Russia maintains a competitive edge in the region. Putin's strategy mirrors the tactics of a shrewd businessman – limiting competition, securing supply chains, and gaining control over valuable resources. His goal seems to be positioning Russia as the dominant player in the region, creating conditions where other nations – especially in Europe – must rely on Moscow's influence to maintain stability and access key resources.

Second Chechen War (1999–2009)

While the war began under Boris Yeltsin, Putin intensified operations after becoming acting president in 2000. Russia reclaimed control over Chechnya, facing prolonged insurgency afterward. ([1](#), [2](#), [3](#))

Russo-Georgian War (2008)

Russia invaded Georgia in August 2008. The conflict, known as the Russo-Georgian War, lasted about five days. It stemmed from escalating tensions over the breakaway regions of South Ossetia and Abkhazia, both of which had strong ties to Russia. After heavy fighting, Russia effectively took control of these regions, recognizing them as independent states - a move that much of the world condemned. ([4](#), [5](#), [6](#))

To solidify Russia's dominance in the regions, in both South Ossetia and Abkhazia, Russian was declared the official or state language. In South Ossetia, a 2011 referendum confirmed Russian as one of the official languages alongside Ossetian, but in practice, Russian became the dominant language in education, government, and media. In Abkhazia, Abkhaz is officially the state language, but Russian is used in most administrative, political, and daily affairs. ([7](#), [8](#), [9](#))

Russian interference in Georgia's elections, particularly concerning the October 2024 parliamentary elections. Observers and opposition leaders reported numerous irregularities, including voter intimidation, ballot stuffing, and misuse of public resources, raising concerns about the election's legitimacy. Data analysts

identified suspicious voting patterns, referred to as the “Russian tail,” which mirrored anomalies observed in other manipulated elections. ([10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#))

President Salome Zourabichvili accused Russia of conducting a “special operation” to falsify the election results in favor of the ruling Georgian Dream party, perceived by some as increasingly pro-Kremlin.

These allegations have led to significant domestic unrest, with tens of thousands protesting in Tbilisi against the election results and perceived Russian meddling. The opposition has called for new elections under international supervision to ensure a fair and transparent process.

In 2025, Reports indicate that Russia has engaged in election interference in Georgia, aiming to destabilize the country’s political landscape and maintain its influence in the region. ([14](#),[15](#))

Ukraine (2014 and 2022)

Russia has interfered in Ukrainian politics multiple times. During the 2004 Orange Revolution, Russian-backed candidate Viktor Yanukovich faced public backlash after evidence of election fraud emerged. ([16](#))

In 2014, amid Ukraine’s pro-Western shift, Russian cyberattacks targeted Ukrainian electoral systems to destabilize the government. ([17](#), [18](#))

In February 2014, Russia invaded and annexed Crimea from Ukraine, following Ukraine’s pro-Western political shift. This was accompanied by a heavily disputed referendum that Russia claimed justified the annexation. ([19](#), [20](#))

Shortly after taking Crimea, Russia backed separatist forces in Donetsk and Luhansk, fueling ongoing conflict in eastern Ukraine. This mimicked the tactic used in the Russo-Georgian War in the breakaway regions of South Ossetia and Abkhazia. ([21](#))

Also mimicking its policies used in South Ossetia and Abkhazia, Russian was declared the official or state language in Crimea. Then Russia built The Crimean Bridge (Kerch Strait Bridge) to further tie Crimea to Russia. ([22](#))

In February 2022, Russia launched a large-scale invasion of Ukraine, escalating the ongoing conflict into a full-blown war. As with previous wars, he defended his actions by claiming to protect Russian speakers. ([23](#))

From Luhansk and Donetsk, Russia moved into Zaporizhzhia and Kherson Oblasts. Then to solidify Russia's hold on the regions that they are still fighting to take, Russian was formally declared the official language, and Ukrainian was marginalized. Russian authorities quickly introduced Russian as the state language, replacing Ukrainian in government institutions, signage, and official documents. Teachers who refused to adopt the Russian curriculum were threatened or dismissed. Libraries and bookstores were purged of Ukrainian literature, with Russian textbooks replacing them. ([24](#), [25](#), [26](#))

Ukrainian TV and radio were shut down, replaced by Russian state-controlled media. Russian-language propaganda was pushed in schools, encouraging pro-Russian sentiment. Speaking Ukrainian in public could result in harassment, arrest, or intimidation, particularly in occupied territories where Russian security forces monitored speech. Russia forced residents to take Russian passports, and official documents were only issued in Russian. ([27](#), [28](#), [29](#), [30](#), [31](#))

Zaporizhzhia Nuclear Power Plant

The ZNPP is the largest nuclear power plant in Europe. The plant supplied 25% of Ukraine's energy before the war. Russia's control of the Zaporizhzhia Nuclear Power Plant (ZNPP) gives it significant political and economic advantages, strengthening its leverage over Ukraine, Ukraine's revenue sharing partners, and Europe while reinforcing its strategic energy dominance. Its continued occupation by Russia serves both military and geopolitical purposes. ([32](#))

Additionally, by controlling ZNPP, Russia can prevent Ukraine from integrating its energy grid with Europe and drawing closer with the EU, as Ukraine has sought to shift away from the post-Soviet Unified Energy System that historically tied it to Russia and Belarus. This means that Moscow can strategically manipulate power supplies, potentially cutting or threatening to cut electricity to regions of Ukraine during critical moments. This would create more of a need to become an integrated Russia state instead of a valued EU and US member. ([33](#), [34](#))

Russia can redirect nuclear-generated electricity from ZNPP into Russian-occupied territories, including Crimea, Kherson, Donetsk, and Luhansk, solidifying its control over annexed regions. There have also been indications that Russia aims to integrate ZNPP into Rosatom's nuclear network, further reinforcing its hold over the plant and potentially using it to generate revenues by supplying power to the Russian grid. [\(35\)](#)

A prolonged occupation of ZNPP undermines Ukraine's post-war reconstruction prospects by depriving it of one of its most valuable energy assets. It would also hobble any resource generation and sharing with economic partners. If the plant remains under Russian control indefinitely, Ukraine would face a crippled energy sector, leading to higher electricity costs and slower industrial recovery. This could also deter foreign investors, like the U.S., wary of long-term instability in Ukraine's infrastructure. [\(35, 36, 37\)](#)

Russia's occupation of ZNPP serves as a multifaceted tool of economic, political, and military leverage. It allows Moscow to undermine Ukraine's energy security, integrate occupied territories into Russia's grid, and use nuclear coercion as a deterrent.

Bulgaria

Concerns have been raised about Russian influence in Bulgaria's political landscape, particularly regarding the rise of pro-Russian parties like the ultranationalist Vazrazhdane (Revival). In the October 2024 parliamentary elections, allegations surfaced that Russian interference contributed to the significant electoral gains of such parties, with analysts estimating that parties with Russian ties could secure around 25% of the vote. [\(38\)](#)

Furthermore, the European Parliament has expressed apprehensions over credible reports of heightened Russian efforts to influence Bulgaria's elections, particularly through a network of disinformation agents operating across social media platforms, academic institutions, NGOs, and political parties. [\(39\)](#)

These developments have contributed to political instability in Bulgaria, with the country experiencing multiple elections in a short period and challenges in forming stable governments. The influence of pro-Russian parties and the

potential for external interference remain significant concerns for Bulgaria's political future. [\(40\)](#)

Moldova (Transnistria)

While not an invasion under Putin's leadership, Russia maintains a military presence in the breakaway region of Transnistria, exerting influence since the early 1990s.

In the November 2024 presidential election, Russia allegedly attempted to sway the outcome by supporting fugitive oligarch Ilan Shor's vote-buying scheme against pro-European President Maia Sandu. Despite these efforts and a €100 million investment from the Kremlin, the interference did not succeed. [\(41, 42, 43\)](#)

Romania

In December 2024, Romania's presidential election was annulled due to evidence of Russian meddling in favor of nationalist candidate Călin Georgescu. The interference involved financial backing from Moscow and a coordinated disinformation campaign on social media platforms like TikTok. [\(44, 45, 46, 47\)](#)

Germany

Investigations have uncovered Russian influence operations targeting Germany's election campaigns, including the use of artificial intelligence tools to disseminate propaganda and false information, with suspected ties to Russia's GRU intelligence agency. [\(48\)](#)

Türkiye

In May 2023, Ahead of Türkiye's 2023 presidential election, Kemal Kılıçdaroğlu, the main opposition candidate challenging President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan, accused Russia of meddling in the electoral process. He claimed that Russia was behind the dissemination of "montages, conspiracies, deep fake content, and tapes" aimed at influencing the election outcome. Kılıçdaroğlu addressed Russia

directly on social media, stating: “Dear Russian friends... If you want our friendship to continue after May 15, get your hands off the Turkish state.”

These accusations emerged just days before the critical vote, highlighting concerns about foreign influence in Türkiye’s democratic processes. [\(49\)](#)

Türkiye is currently a friendly, but guarded, nation with Russia.

Russia’s role in the Black Sea region is driven by a mix of strategic positioning, economic interests, and leveraging key partnerships, especially with Türkiye. Understanding these dynamics is essential because the Black Sea is not just a geopolitical hotspot but also a critical trade and energy route that could impact regional markets, supply chains, and energy pricing. [\(50, 51, 52\)](#)

Economically, Russia is heavily invested in maintaining control over its Novorossiysk port, a major outlet for both Russian and Central Asian exports like grain and oil. This port gives Russia influence over landlocked countries in the region and provides leverage for global trade. To secure its economic interests, Russia has invested in energy infrastructure like the TurkStream pipeline, which bypasses Ukraine and delivers gas through Türkiye to Europe. For a businessman, this represents not only an important logistical route but also a tool for Russia to gain leverage over NATO and the EU by controlling energy supplies, particularly natural gas, which impacts pricing and availability. [\(53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59\)](#)

From a political standpoint, Russia’s relationship with Türkiye is crucial. Although Russia and Türkiye share common economic interests, particularly in energy, their geopolitical goals don’t always align. Türkiye’s growing military and political support for Ukraine, including its vocal opposition to Russia’s control over Crimea, shows the complex dynamics at play. Additionally, Türkiye’s involvement in regional conflicts, such as its military support to Azerbaijan in the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, highlights its desire to expand influence - something that complicates Russia’s long-term plans in the region. [\(60, 61, 62\)](#)

For businesses operating in or around the Black Sea, it’s essential to monitor Russia’s military presence and its economic tactics, which are increasingly focused on securing energy routes and maintaining control over key ports. However, Russia’s ability to maintain dominance is under pressure. Changes in global energy

trends, such as Europe's shift toward zero-carbon energy and increasing competition in the natural gas market, are weakening Russia's economic hold. Plus, NATO's growing cohesion against Russia's actions is an added risk factor for businesses dependent on stability in the region. ([55](#), [63](#), [64](#))

In short, Russia's actions in the Black Sea are a mix of opportunity and risk for businesses. While Russia continues to use its energy resources and strategic positioning to influence markets, the changing political and economic landscape - especially with Türkiye's unpredictable role and NATO's growing opposition - will be key factors to watch in determining future business conditions. ([65](#), [66](#), [52](#))

Military Control and Power Projection

Naval Dominance: Controlling the Black Sea coast would give Russia greater control over vital naval routes and military positioning. The Black Sea Fleet, based in Sevastopol, Crimea, is a cornerstone of Russia's regional military strategy. By encircling the Black Sea, Russia could better secure this fleet and extend its reach into the Mediterranean, the Middle East, and even North Africa. ([67](#), [68](#), [69](#), [70](#), [71](#), [72](#))

Access to Warm Water Ports: The Black Sea offers year-round access to warm-water ports, crucial for Russia's naval operations since much of its coastline freezes in winter.

Economic Leverage and Trade Control

Trade Routes

The Black Sea is a major trade corridor for Eastern Europe, Central Asia, and the Middle East. Dominating this region would allow Russia to control critical shipping lanes that handle oil, grain, and other key exports. ([73](#), [74](#))

Energy Influence

Russia's pipelines pass through this region, and controlling or influencing coastal territories would secure those energy routes while limiting alternatives for Europe. ([75](#), [76](#))

Choke Point Control

The Bosphorus Strait (controlled by Turkiye) is a critical maritime chokepoint connecting the Black Sea to the Mediterranean. By surrounding the Black Sea with friendly territories like Turkiye, Russia would gain leverage over this strategic passage and increase its ability to pressure NATO and European trade. ([77](#), [98](#))

Resource Access

By aligning countries like Moldova, Bulgaria, and Turkiye with Russian interests - or destabilizing them to prevent Western alignment - Putin can expand Russia's geopolitical reach. Control of this region would also isolate Ukraine economically and militarily, cutting off key ports like Odesa, further weakening its economy and strategic position. ([78](#), [79](#), [80](#), [81](#), [70](#))

By surrounding the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea with Russian territories or loyal regimes, Putin could turn this region into a powerful staging ground for military influence, secure critical trade routes, and tighten his grip on Europe's energy security. It's a calculated move to assert dominance over a crucial geopolitical crossroads.

Nuclear Military Control and Secure Launch Risk Assessment

Sea of Azov and Black Sea

Kherson, Zaporizhzhia and Donetsk west of Luhansk in Ukraine is all that's left for Russia to exert control over the Sea of Azov and become the only country in the world controlling an inland sea. Rich mineral deposits for future mining are not the only value in the Sea of Azov. When Russia took Crimea from Ukraine in 2014, all that is left for Russia to secure the Sea of Azov is those three Oblasts north of the Sea of Azov. Russia's military and economic objective is the Sea of Azov, and eventually the Black Sea. ([82](#), [83](#), [84](#))

Nuclear Strike Capabilities from the Sea of Azov

Crimea, Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, and Luhansk

As Russia presses for a peace agreement that would solidify its control over Crimea, Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, and Luhansk, the geopolitical and military implications for U.S. security become increasingly concerning. If Russia

secures these territories, its strategic positioning in the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea would be dramatically enhanced, giving it more direct access to the Mediterranean and, by extension, the United States and its NATO allies' southern borders. This heightened control over key regions would facilitate the potential deployment of nuclear-capable submarines, such as the Borei-class and Delta-class, to positions where they could launch long-range strikes against the U.S. and its European allies. ([69](#), [86](#), [87](#), [81](#))

Sea of Azov Nuclear Submarines

The Sea of Azov, while shallow and limiting for submerged submarine operations, still allows Russian nuclear-capable submarines to conduct surface operations or partially submerge in deeper sections of the sea, making it possible to launch strategic missiles. While the Sea of Azov's relatively shallow waters restrict full submerged operations, Russia could still utilize this region for surface launches by nuclear submarines like the Borei-class or Delta class. ([88](#), [89](#))

This capability, if executed from the Sea of Azov or the Black Sea, would place critical targets in the U.S., and Europe - especially those along NATO's southern perimeter - within striking range of Russian missile systems. These missiles, such as the Bulava launched from Borei-class or Delta-class submarines, could strike at key European capitals or military installations, and poses a serious risk to U.S. security with a 10,000-kilometer range. ([90](#), [91](#))

Just recently, Putin launched the Novosibirsk and Perm – both Yasen-class submarines that have capabilities to launch 3M22 Zircon hypersonic cruise missiles with a 1,000-kilometer range that can fly at Mach-9. ([92](#))

Sea of Azov Diesel Submarines

In the Sea of Azov, Russian diesel submarines can launch cruise missiles capable of reaching parts of Europe. However, more significantly, with bases in Crimea, Russia can exert control over the Black Sea, allowing their nuclear submarines to traverse and potentially conduct nuclear strikes against the United States. Putin's ongoing political influence in countries like Romania, Moldova, Bulgaria, Ukraine, Turkiye, and Georgia aims to create a network of pro-Russian states that can help secure Russia's nuclear deterrence capabilities and safeguard intelligence on maneuvers. Though the Bosphorus Strait is protected by The Montreux

Convention, Russia has a history of challenging or violating such treaties when it aligns with its strategic interests. ([93](#), [94](#), [95](#), [96](#), [97](#), [98](#), [99](#), [100](#), [101](#), [102](#), [103](#), [110](#))

Sea of Azov/Black Sea US Security Dangers

The greater danger in the Sea of Azov, as well as the Black Sea, is Russia's Borei and Delta-class submarine with nuclear strike capabilities that could reach the United States. Much of the deeper areas of the Sea of Azov, around 60 feet in depth, have soft seabed - generally soft, with sand and mud being the dominant materials. When a Borei or Delta-class submarine is literally resting on the seabed (soft, like mud or sand), it could evade detection after a launch. ([88](#), [89](#))

Conclusion: Yes, a Borei and Delta-class submarine could likely safely launch a nuclear missile from the surface of the Sea of Azov, and evade detection. Yes, a Borei and Delta-class submarine could likely safely launch a nuclear missile from the surface then run and hide even after being detected.

In summary, while there are still risks such as submarine shift or slight hull stress, resting on a soft seabed does not pose as significant risk to the hull. The Borei and Delta-class submarine could likely launch a missile safely from the surface in shallow waters. The newer Varshavyanka-class or older Oscar-class can also launch nuclear payloads with a much shorter range. We can presume the U.S. itself, as well as Russia have done research on seabed launches from submarines or mobile platforms. That would be a violation of The Seabed Arms Control Treaty (1971), though Russia is known to violate treaties. ([104](#), [105](#), [108](#), [110](#))

Tactical Military Control

With control by Russia of Crimea, Kherson, Zaporizhzhia and Donetsk, the US and its allies would not have eyes on submarines in the Sea of Azov. Though nuclear missile silos in Russia are known, and targeting information is readily available, untrackable submarines in the Sea of Azov and especially difficult to track nuclear submarines with nuclear strike capabilities in the Black Sea would be detrimental to US defense. ([104](#), [105](#), [106](#), [107](#), [109](#))

Nuclear Strike Capabilities from the Black Sea

The real concern for U.S. security lies in Russia's control over the Black Sea. Unlike the Sea of Azov, which has severe operational restrictions, the Black Sea provides

deeper waters where nuclear submarines can operate with greater flexibility. With access to ports like Novorossiysk and Sevastopol, Russia would be able to deploy its most advanced nuclear submarines in the region with relative ease. The Black Sea also has critical geostrategic importance for Russia, particularly regarding control over routes to the Mediterranean. Russia's ability to deploy nuclear submarines in these waters would significantly impact U.S. and NATO security concerns, as submarines based in these locations would have easier access to important regions, including the Mediterranean and potentially areas with significant strategic U.S. targets. ([104](#), [105](#))

Political Military Control

Moreover, Russia's expanding influence in countries like Turkiye, Bulgaria, Romania, and Georgia - especially if they shift to pro-Russian governments - would further complicate the U.S. ability to counter these threats. If these nations align with Moscow, it could effectively create a ring of Russian-aligned states in and around the Black Sea, giving Russia access to critical military, naval, and trade routes, as well as additional strategic depth in terms of missile launch capabilities.

The U.S. would find it increasingly difficult to monitor and respond to threats in the region, as Russian submarines, including those capable of carrying nuclear missiles, would have greater freedom of movement in an environment less vulnerable to NATO detection.

Borei or Delta-Class

The Borei or Delta-class submarines, equipped with Bulava missiles, have the capacity to launch long-range strikes targeting strategic locations across Europe, and, in theory, even parts of the United States. This becomes particularly dangerous considering the proximity of Russia's newfound territorial holdings to NATO's southeastern border. ([88](#), [89](#), [90](#), [91](#))

With Russia firmly entrenched in the Black Sea and surrounding regions, its ability to project nuclear power further into Europe - and potentially across the Atlantic - would be a growing threat to U.S. national security.

Bosphorus and Dardanelles Straits

Even if Turkiye maintains a neutral stance or continues to oppose Russia in some respects, Russia could potentially establish the necessary infrastructure to build and deploy Borei or Yasen-class submarines within the Black Sea itself in the next 7-10 years. With advanced shipbuilding facilities at their disposal, such as those at Novorossiysk, Russia would not need to rely on passage through the Bosphorus Strait, which could be obstructed by political tension with Turkiye. ([111](#), [112](#))

This development would dramatically increase Russia's naval capabilities in the region, potentially circumventing international treaties that restrict such actions. The ability to build and launch Borei or Yasen-class submarines within the Black Sea would give Russia the means to operate strategically from a location close to NATO's southern flank, launching long-range nuclear missiles from a highly secure and less detectable position. The U.S. and the West cannot allow Russia to control any other parts of the Black Sea because of this. A watchful eye is needed.

Loss of US Military Control

The possibility of a Russian submarine launching a long-range missile from the Black Sea - whether a Borei-class submarine, or Yasen -class on the surface or submerged in deeper waters - poses a serious concern for U.S. defense capabilities. The U.S. military's traditional deterrence and missile defense systems, already stretched thin due to various global commitments, would find it challenging to defend against potential missile launches from these positions. This vulnerability would be exacerbated by the ability of Russian submarines to "run and hide" by submerging in parts of the Black Sea, making it difficult for the U.S. and NATO to track and neutralize the threat in real-time.

Military Control - Sea of Azov

The ability of a Borei-class or Yasen-class submarine to conduct a surface launch from the Sea of Azov itself, even under the limitations of the shallow waters, introduces another level of complexity to U.S. defense strategy. A surface launch of a Bulava missile would still be capable of reaching distant targets within Europe and the United States, and could even be part of a broader strategy of deception and evasion. Zircon supersonic cruise missiles would be almost impossible to defend when launched from a Yasen-class submarine. Russian submarines could use the Sea of Azov's geography to launch such strikes and then quickly submerge

or retreat into deeper waters in the Black Sea, avoiding retaliation while remaining difficult to track.

Military Control - Black Sea

In conclusion, if Russia secures control over the territories surrounding the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea, it could dramatically enhance its nuclear deterrence capabilities in the region. Submarines like the Borei-class, Yasen-class, Delta-class and Oscar-class capable of launching nuclear missiles, could be deployed from key naval ports, making them a formidable threat to U.S. national security. The proximity of these submarines to U.S. and NATO territories, coupled with the potential for greater Russian influence in neighboring countries, underscores the growing risk of a strategic and nuclear confrontation. The United States would need to closely monitor the region's security dynamics and strengthen its alliances and defense capabilities to counter this emerging threat effectively.

References:

1. <https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/RL31620.html>
2. <https://www.paradigmshift.com.pk/second-chechen-war/>
3. <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/putin-shifts-chechen-strategy/>
4. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russo-Georgian_War
5. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2008/aug/26/russia.georgia1>
6. https://www.rferl.org/a/Russias_Recognition_Of_South_Ossetia_Abkhazia/1193984.html
7. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2011_South_Ossetian_referendum
8. <https://jam-news.net/georgian-schools-in-south-ossetia-are-switching-to-russian/>
9. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abkhaz_language
10. <https://apnews.com/article/732670469f47bf9c541ca6bf3c9b7bf1>
11. <https://www.rferl.org/a/georgia-election-manipulation-russian-tail/33183374.html>
12. https://www.lemonde.fr/en/opinion/article/2024/10/28/the-european-union-s-georgian-trap_6730794_23.html
13. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2024/dec/27/lets-see-who-will-be-leaving-georgias-presidential-standoff-nears-crunch-point>
14. <https://www.ft.com/content/e71b7f6c-3b31-4e02-abd3-3a06a940a6e4>
15. <https://apnews.com/article/ea1a94819ebc274c22a39341c4116b8b>
16. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orange_Revolution
17. <https://securingdemocracy.gmfus.org/incident/russian-government-connected-hacktivist-group-cyberberkut-breaches-ukraines-election-commission/>
18. <https://phys.org/news/2014-10-hackers-ukraine-election-website.html>
19. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/five-years-after-crimeas-illegal-annexation-the-issue-is-no-closer-to-resolution/>
20. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/mar/16/ukraine-russia-truce-crimea-referendum>
21. <https://www.pbs.org/newshour/politics/putin-recognizes-separatist-eastern-ukrainian-regions-paving-the-way-for-military-support>

22. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2024/03/russia-ukraine-a-decade-of-suppressing-non-russian-identities-in-occupied-crimea/>
23. <https://www.usnews.com/news/best-countries/articles/explainer-why-did-russia-invade-ukraine>
24. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2024/10/ukraine-russia-teachers-in-russian-occupied-territories-coerced-to-teach-russian-curriculum-through-threats-and-violence/>
25. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2022/jul/01/moscow-forcing-teachers-in-ukraine-to-sign-up-to-russian-curriculum>
26. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russian-occupied_territories_of_Ukraine
27. <https://ipi.media/alerts/russian-forces-cut-off-ukrainian-tv-and-radio-stations-in-at-least-14-locations/>
28. <https://www.hrw.org/news/2024/06/20/ukraine-forced-russified-education-under-occupation>
29. <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/34109978/putin-weaponising-abducted-ukrainian-children-zelensky/>
30. <https://zmina.info/en/news-en/human-rights-crisis-in-occupied-crimea-wave-of-persecutions-targeted-voices-against-russia-and-its-war-in-2022/>
31. <https://www.hrw.org/news/2025/03/25/get-passport-or-leave-russias-ultimatum-ukrainians>
32. <https://www.businessinsider.com/russia-big-plans-for-ukraines-nuclear-power-plants-before-invasion-2023-1>
33. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI%282025%29769551
34. <https://www.iea.org/reports/ukraines-energy-security-and-the-coming-winter/ukraines-energy-system-under-attack>
35. <https://bellona.org/news/nuclear-issues/2025-03-rosatom-head-says-russia-will-restart-seized-ukrainian-nuclear-plant>
36. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/ukrainian-nuclear-energy-can-fuel-countrys-recovery-and-power-europe/>
37. <https://global.espresso.tv/russia-ukraine-war-us-has-powerful-argument-to-return-znpp-to-ukraine-energy-expert>

38. <https://balkaninsight.com/2024/09/27/bulgarias-main-pro-russia-party-polling-second-ahead-of-elections/>
39. <https://www.infobalkans.com/2024/04/24/russian-influence-looms-over-bulgarian-elections-european-parliament-rallies-against>
40. <https://ecfr.eu/article/fool-me-thrice-the-pattern-of-political-instability-in-bulgaria-georgia-and-moldova/>
41. <https://euromaidanpress.com/2024/10/27/russia-based-oligarch-funneled-39-million-into-vote-buying-scheme-in-moldova/>
42. https://www.lemonde.fr/en/international/article/2024/11/04/moldova-s-pro-european-president-maia-sandu-re-elected-in-setback-for-kremlin_6731491_4.html
43. <https://apnews.com/article/9411ab04ffb3230a83a4f8751c4e2414>
44. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2024/dec/06/romanian-court-annuls-first-round-of-presidential-election>
45. <https://www.ft.com/content/071c9233-5ede-46bc-99e5-a89b59080b6b>
46. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2024/dec/09/the-guardian-view-on-romanias-annulled-election-a-wake-up-call-for-democracies>
47. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2024/dec/09/the-guardian-view-on-romanias-annulled-election-a-wake-up-call-for-democracies>
48. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2024/jan/26/germany-unearths-pro-russia-disinformation-campaign-on-x>
49. <https://amp.cnn.com/cnn/2023/05/12/world/turkey-elections-opposition-russian-interference-intl/index.html>
50. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/part-4-turkeys-geopolitical-role-in-the-black-sea-and-european-energy-security-from-pipelines-to-liquefied-natural-gas/>
51. <https://edam.org.tr/en/foreign-policy-and-security/Turkey%20and%20Russia%20in%20the%20Black%20Sea%20Region%3A%20Dynamics%20of%20Cooperation%20and%20Conflict>
52. <https://www.fpri.org/article/2024/12/turkeys-evolving-geopolitical-strategy-in-the-black-sea/>

53. <https://www.afpc.org/publications/articles/a-new-spring-for-caspian-transit-and-trade1>
54. <https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2025/02/12/russian-gas-supplies-to-europe-via-turkstream-hit-new-all-time-high-in-january-a87962>
55. <https://carnegieendowment.org/posts/2021/05/what-is-russia-doing-in-the-black-sea?lang=en>
56. <https://www.reuters.com/markets/commodities/russias-seaborne-grain-exports-look-main-terminals-planned-expansions-2024-09-26/>
57. <https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2022/06/14/kgmx-j14.html>
58. https://www.fdd.org/analysis/op_ed/2025/03/05/turkeys-balancing-act-in-the-ukraine-conflict-again/
59. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/what-role-can-turkey-play-ukraine-negotiations>
60. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Azerbaijan%E2%80%93Turkey_relations
61. <https://www.aljazeera.com/features/2020/10/30/whats-turkeys-role-in-the-nagorno-karabakh-conflict>
62. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/renewed-nagorno-karabakh-conflict-reading-between-front-lines>
63. <https://cepa.org/article/adapt-or-die-europes-energy-on-the-edge/>
64. <https://www.belfercenter.org/research-analysis/united-states-losing-ground-black-sea-region>
65. <https://www.habtoorresearch.com/programmes/turkey-reaping-the-rewards-of-a-turbulent-black-sea/>
66. <https://mei.edu/publications/toward-nato-black-sea-strategy>
67. <https://www.washingtoninstitute.org/policy-analysis/understanding-russias-war-ukraine-starts-understanding-russias-black-sea-politics>
68. <https://centerformaritimestrategy.org/publications/why-sevastopol-matters-to-the-russian-black-sea-fleet/>
69. <https://www.marshallcenter.org/en/publications/security-insights/russias-naval-strategy-mediterranean-0>
70. <https://cepa.org/comprehensive-reports/russias-militarization-of-the-black-sea-implications-for-the-united-states-and-nato/>

71. <https://www.defensenews.com/naval/2022/02/25/what-makes-the-black-sea-so-strategically-important/>
72. <https://www.blackseanews.net/en/read/226500>
73. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14631377.2024.2324223#d1e122>
74. <https://nationalinterest.org/blog/silk-road-rivalries/the-case-for-cace>
75. <https://www.ainvest.com/news/russia-s-gas-routes-alternatives-and-challenges-24121010eb0fbda2c2d51de8/>
76. <https://www.neglobal.eu/eu-searches-for-alternatives-before-russian-gas-to-europe-via-ukraine-stops/>
77. <https://www.politico.eu/article/black-sea-russia-turkey-ukraine-war/>
78. <https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2024/10/moldova-russia-strategy>
79. <https://jamestown.org/program/bulgarias-black-sea-dilemma-nato-ally-or-russian-gateway/>
80. <https://www.hudson.org/defense-strategy/operation-odesa-russia-wants-entire-ukrainian-black-sea-coast-matthew-boyse>
81. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/a-security-strategy-for-the-black-sea/>
82. <https://www.ffi.no/en/news/russias-maritime-expansionism-in-the-black-sea-region>
83. <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/ukraine-conflict-crossroads-europe-and-russia>
84. <https://www.mei.edu/publications/russian-dominance-black-sea-sea-azov>
85. [X](#)
86. <https://news.usni.org/2020/07/08/russian-black-sea-sub-deployments-to-mediterranean-could-violate-treaty>
87. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/russian-arctic-threat-consequences-ukraine-war>
88. <https://www.naval-technology.com/projects/delta-class-submarine/>
89. <https://www.naval-technology.com/projects/borei-class/>
90. <https://russianforces.org/blog/2005/10/ designations.shtml>

91. <https://missilethreat.csis.org/missile/ss-n-32-bulava/>
92. <https://www.marineinsight.com/shipping-news/russia-commissions-first-of-its-kind-nuclear-submarine-with-zircon-missiles/>
93. <https://www.eurasiantimes.com/for-1st-time-russia-deploys-three-submarine/>
94. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/britain-highest-risk-missiles-attack-russia-kksgst2bp>
95. <https://www.wsj.com/world/europe/romania-scraps-election-after-russian-influence-allegations-0a2e8ba6>
96. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA357-1.html
97. <https://www.marshallcenter.org/en/publications/clock-tower-series/new-security-reality-strategic-approaches-wider-black-sea-region/new-security-reality-strategic-approaches-wider>
98. <https://ecfr.eu/publication/bridging-the-bosphorus-how-europe-and-turkey-can-turn-tiffs-into-tactics-in-the-black-sea/>
99. <https://www.fpri.org/event/2025/russian-influence-operations-in-the-black-sea-region-georgia-moldova-and-romania/>
100. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-114shrg97882/html/CHRG-114shrg97882.htm>
101. <https://www.mei.edu/publications/russia-violating-spirit-montreux-using-civilian-ships-war>
102. <https://www.usni.org/magazines/proceedings/2023/september/russia-violating-montreux-convention-civilian-ships>
103. <https://news.usni.org/2020/07/08/russian-black-sea-sub-deployments-to-mediterranean-could-violate-treaty>
104. <https://www.businessinsider.com/russian-submarine-in-cuba-us-nato-worried-about-for-years-2024-6>
105. <https://www.globsec.org/what-we-do/commentaries/engaging-silent-enemies-natos-anti-submarine-warfare-challenge>
106. <https://bulgarianmilitary.com/2024/10/11/russia-rolls-out-last-636-3-project-submarine-the-yakutsk/>

107. <https://nationalinterest.org/blog/buzz/russian-black-hole-kilo-class-submarines-headed-towards-russias-doorstep-213335>
108. <https://nationalinterest.org/blog/buzz/oscar-ii-class-submarine-russias-ultimate-aircraft-carrier-killer-sub-208844/>
109. <https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/us-researchers-find-probable-launch-site-russias-new-nuclear-powered-missile-2024-09-02/>
110. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seabed_Arms_Control_Treaty
111. <https://www.thebarentsobserver.com/security/russias-nuclear-submarine-construction-reaches-postsoviet-high/161035>
112. <https://www.defensenews.com/industry/2024/03/28/sevmash-completes-upgrades-to-build-russias-next-gen-nuclear-subs/>

Part 2

Ukraine Technological Advances

Abstract

Ukraine's technological advancements in weaponry, particularly in drone warfare, AI integration, and autonomous systems, have positioned it as a leading force in modern military operations. These innovations, developed under duress during the ongoing conflict with Russia, not only bolster Ukraine's defense capabilities but also enhance its strategic value to the West. Ukraine's success in leveraging small, adaptable drones, AI-powered targeting, and autonomous systems showcases its rapid ability to adapt and thrive in asymmetrical warfare. As a result, Ukraine has become an invaluable military partner to the West, with its advanced technologies providing critical insight into future conflict strategies.

The unintended result of Russia's invasion has been Ukraine's growing importance to the West. Control over Ukrainian technologies and mineral resources would significantly benefit Russia, giving it a geopolitical advantage in both military capabilities and economic resources. If Russia were to capture territories like Crimea, Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, or Luhansk, it would not only gain access to valuable mineral deposits but also harness Ukraine's technological advancements in warfare. This would potentially accelerate Russia's military modernization, undermining the technological edge currently held by Western powers.

Ukraine's innovative use of drones, including FPV drones, anti-ship drones, and autonomous underwater vehicles, has shifted the battlefield away from traditional methods, reducing direct combat exposure for Ukrainian forces while maintaining offensive capabilities. Ukraine's ability to integrate AI into military technologies further amplifies these advancements, improving precision, efficiency, and reducing mission failure rates. The development of AI-enabled drones with autonomous navigation is particularly significant, as it allows for more successful target engagement with fewer resources.

Russia's potential control over Ukrainian drone technology could have far-reaching implications for NATO and U.S. defense concerns. By capturing these advancements, Russia could significantly enhance its own military capabilities and

gain a strategic edge over Western powers. Ukraine's use of long-range UAVs has demonstrated how relatively low-cost drones can bypass sophisticated air defense systems, offering a new kind of asymmetric warfare. If Russia assimilates these capabilities, it could develop next-generation UAVs and sell them to rogue states, including China, Iran, North Korea, and various Middle Eastern and African nations. This would challenge U.S. military dominance in the drone market and allow Russia to exert more influence over countries seeking to undermine NATO and Western influence.

Moscow's support for countries like North Korea and Iran, which already utilize UAVs in proxy conflicts, would be strengthened by access to Ukraine's technologies. These drones could destabilize regions such as the Middle East, East Asia, and the South China Sea, posing a direct challenge to U.S. and NATO forces. With advanced drone swarms coordinated through AI, Russia could overwhelm U.S. defense systems, shifting the balance of power in asymmetric warfare and giving adversaries the ability to conduct precision strikes or saturation attacks. This would undermine NATO's defense posture, particularly in regions critical to U.S. and European interests.

For NATO and the U.S., the acquisition of Ukrainian drone technologies by Russia would significantly impact military strategy. Drones with enhanced precision, AI-driven autonomy, and improved evasion capabilities could bypass traditional defense systems, introducing a new generation of saturation tactics that overwhelm air defense. These advancements would also allow Russia to gather real-time intelligence and provide tactical advantages on the battlefield, posing a direct threat to NATO's military infrastructure. The global proliferation of these technologies, particularly to adversaries of the U.S., would destabilize key regions, undermining U.S. strategic interests worldwide.

Russia's potential to integrate and export advanced UAV technology from Ukraine would not only bolster its military capabilities but also provide substantial economic gains. The booming global demand for low-cost, effective drones, positions Russia to become a major player in the UAV market, exporting advanced systems to nations seeking alternatives to expensive missiles and fighter jets. This would enhance Russia's military-industrial complex, offer economic leverage through arms sales, and allow Moscow to strengthen alliances with rogue states, further shifting the geopolitical balance in its favor.

Ukraine's defense industry continues to push the boundaries of autonomous warfare, with AI-assisted drones and systems that reduce the need for highly skilled operators and improve mission success rates. These advancements not only enhance Ukraine's military capabilities but also position it as a key player in shaping the future of global military strategies. The growing sophistication of Ukrainian drone and AI technologies represents a significant leap forward in military technology. Should these advancements fall into Russian hands, they would pose a severe challenge to U.S. and NATO military superiority, destabilizing key regions and shifting the global balance of power in asymmetric warfare.

Modern Drone Warfare and Infrastructure

The unintended results of Russia's invasion of Ukraine have made Ukraine more valuable to the West. Ukraine's advances in weapons and tactics for modern warfare not only make it an asset for the West to integrate but also highlight its strategic importance in keeping it out of Russia's hands. Allowing Russia to have any Oblast including Crimea, but also Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk or Luhansk would give them the upper hand in not only mineral deposits, but also the vast troves of data and advancements in modern warfare achieved by Ukraine. To Russia, there is now considerably more military power over the United States and Europe to be had by its control of any part of Ukraine. (128, 141)

Ukraine's Increasing Value to the West

Ukraine's advances in weapons and tactics - particularly in areas such as drone warfare, AI integration, and autonomous systems - have significantly bolstered its standing as a key military partner to the West. Ukraine's innovation under duress has placed it at the forefront of modern warfare, showcasing its ability to rapidly adapt and develop advanced technologies, often using open-source tools and homegrown ingenuity. ([113](#), [114](#), [115](#), [116](#), [117](#), [118](#), [119](#), [120](#))

Integration with the West

These advances make Ukraine a valuable partner for the West - not just for intelligence-sharing, military assistance, and logistics but also as a testing ground for new technologies and tactics that could have broader implications in future conflicts. ([121](#))

Strategic Importance in Keeping Ukraine Out of Russia's Hands

Loss of Ukraine would be a significant blow to the West, both strategically and technologically. Russia controlling Ukraine would not only give them access to critical resources like mineral deposits (especially in eastern and southern Ukraine), but would also allow them to harness the military technologies and data Ukraine has developed during the ongoing war. This would accelerate Russia's military modernization, potentially setting back Western technological advances by years. ([122](#), [123](#), [124](#), [125](#), [126](#), [127](#))

Ukraine's war capabilities - including drone and missile technologies - are especially valuable because they demonstrate the effective integration of AI, autonomous systems, and robotics into warfare. If Russia were to gain access to this intellectual property, it would likely help accelerate Russia's own tech development, closing the technological gap between Western and Russian forces.

Mineral Resources and Strategic Locations

The mineral deposits in regions like Donetsk and Luhansk, along with water access to Crimea, are of considerable value. Should Russia retain control over these territories, it would gain an economic advantage through access to these resources and the strategic ability to control vital regions for energy and industrial production.

Additionally, territories like Zaporizhzhia, with its nuclear power infrastructure, hold both strategic and energy significance that would benefit Russia if they maintain control. ([128](#), [129](#), [130](#), [131](#), [132](#))

Data and Technological Advancements

Ukrainian technological innovations are being developed in response to the specific challenges of the ongoing war, often involving asymmetric tactics, the deployment of small drones, AI-enhanced targeting, and highly adaptable strike systems. If these technologies were to fall into Russian hands, they would not only boost Russia's current military capability but also allow them to reverse-engineer and expand on the cutting-edge advancements Ukraine has made.

This data would include both battlefield strategies and advanced technological designs that are difficult to replicate quickly, which could shift the balance of power, especially if used to outpace Western military innovations.

The Broader Geopolitical and Military Implications

Allowing Russia to gain control of these territories would not only weaken Ukrainian sovereignty but also shift the geopolitical balance of power in favor of Russia, giving them influence over Eastern Europe, particularly in terms of access to NATO's eastern border. ([133](#), [134](#), [135](#), [136](#))

The loss of Ukrainian technologies and advancements to Russia could diminish the West's competitive edge in emerging military technologies, especially in a new era of warfare defined by autonomous systems, artificial intelligence, and precision-strike capabilities.

Because of Ukraine, future wars will no longer resemble those of the past. Necessity has driven Ukraine to embrace drones to compensate for its shrinking troop reserves, leading to a reinvention of drone warfare - one that includes not just advanced combat drones like the Reaper, but also small, commercial drones adapted for military purposes. Given that Russia's population is four times larger than Ukraine's, many anticipated a war of attrition. However, Ukraine has shifted the battlefield by minimizing direct combat exposure for its personnel through the integration of autonomous unmanned systems. This approach helps preserve a limited human force while addressing issues like fatigue and stress. Though not yet formalized into a written strategy, this vision has aligned Ukraine's military and defense industry around the rapid adoption and deployment of advanced technologies, including AI-enabled capabilities and the expansion of unmanned systems. ([137](#), [138](#), [139](#), [140](#), [141](#), [142](#), [143](#))

AI does not yet operate independently from start to finish on the battlefield; rather, it enhances specific functions at various stages. It serves as a foundational technology for transitioning from automated to autonomous systems - ones that determine how to achieve a goal rather than just following pre-programmed instructions. While AI improves key capabilities like target recognition and autonomous navigation, most systems still require human oversight and do not fully identify, select, and engage targets on their own. ([144](#))

Ukrainian forces have increasingly used small and medium-sized drones that can be quickly customized for different missions. These drones are designed to be flexible, with parts that can easily be swapped out to fit various needs. By adding

items like explosives, sensors, or communication tools, these drones can be turned into powerful tools for different tasks - whether as kamikaze-drones, bombers, surveillance systems, or communication relays. This flexibility makes FPV drones valuable and adaptable tools for Ukrainian forces on the front lines. ([117](#), [145](#), [146](#), [147](#), [148](#), [149](#))

Ukraine's defense industry is developing AI software that can be applied to different types of military equipment to make them more autonomous. This software helps machines recognize their surroundings, identify targets, and navigate, even when getting close to the target. The software comes in small, easy-to-install modules, which include chips and sometimes cameras. These modules can be added to various platforms, from small drones to long-range strike drones for air and sea and even turrets on unmanned ground and sea vehicles. The goal is to make sure these systems can work together smoothly, even though they perform different tasks. ([150](#), [151](#), [152](#))

By reducing the need for highly skilled operators and stable communication systems, AI-assisted drones with autonomous navigation can significantly increase the success rate of target engagement - from about 10-20% to 70-80%. While not perfect, autonomous navigation makes it easier for more soldiers to use AI-powered weapon systems, minimizing the reliance on specialized operators. This expansion of capabilities allows Ukraine's military to reduce troop operational risks while increasing efficiency. AI-enabled drones are reducing strike costs by lowering drone losses and mission retries, often achieving targets with just one or two drones instead of eight or nine. Additionally, fully autonomous flight from takeoff to landing makes these drones reusable, cutting down on the need for frequent replacements. ([153](#), [154](#))

Ukrainian engineers are leveraging free and open-source technology to accelerate their research and keep costs low. By using existing software like computer vision programs, they can quickly build and implement autonomous features. The use of open-source tools allows for rapid testing, updates, and collaboration with global researchers, keeping Ukraine flexible and competitive in modern warfare. ([155](#), [160](#))

However, the drawback to Ukraine's rapid development of AI-powered drones is Russia's growing ability to learn and counteract advancing technologies. As war progresses, Russia becomes more adept at countering new technologies. While Russia can replicate hardware designs in a matter of weeks, the sophisticated

encryption of AI-enabled software significantly slows their efforts to develop equivalent systems. This secure software advantage allows Ukrainian forces to maintain a lead in drone autonomy. By investing in strong encryption protocols, Ukraine not only protects its critical algorithms and data but also establishes a strategic deterrent against immediate duplication, reinforcing the enduring value of high-tech innovation in modern warfare. ([156](#), [157](#), [158](#), [159](#), [160](#), [161](#), [162](#), [163](#))

Drone and Missile Advancements

Raybird

Most recently Ukraine has developed Raybird, a winged drone that can fly non-stop for more than 28 hours and cover a distance of 2,500 kilometers. It carries out reconnaissance missions, and is also used to destroy targets both on the front line and deep inside Russia.

Ukraine's arsenal to carry out deep strikes is only growing. President Zelensky recently announced that Ukrainian engineers have designed a drone that has a range of 3,000 km (1,860 miles). That means it could reach not only Moscow, but even locations in Siberia.

It's designed to operate in harsh environments and with electronic warfare threats. It also features quick deployment, with assembly taking less than 25 minutes and automatic takeoff and landing. ([164](#), [165](#), [166](#), [167](#))

Palianytsia Missile-Drone

The Palianytsia is a Ukrainian turbojet-powered missile-drone developed during the Russian invasion of Ukraine. It was introduced as a “new class of weaponry” that is difficult to counter and has already been used against Russian military targets. The system is surface-launched and has a cruise missile-like design, featuring forward-positioned wings and a tail section with control surfaces. It is estimated to have a range of 600–700 km, allowing it to strike deep into Russian-held territory. While the exact warhead size remains unclear, estimates range from 50 kg to several hundred kilograms.

Powered by the AI-PBS-350 turbojet engine, the Palianytsia provides Ukraine with an affordable alternative to traditional cruise missiles. Cost estimates vary, with reports suggesting a price range between \$50,000 and \$1 million per unit, making

it significantly cheaper than Western cruise missiles. In December 2024, Ukrainian Defense Minister Rustem Umerov announced that the missile-drone had entered serial production, and Lithuania contributed €10 million toward its development.

With its long range, relatively low cost, and adaptability, this missile-drone enhances Ukraine's long-range strike capabilities, reinforcing its ability to target Russian military infrastructure effectively. ([168](#), [169](#), [170](#), [171](#))

Peklo Missile Drone

The Peklo is a long-range loitering munition that has been designed to strike deep behind enemy lines, with a range of up to 700 km and speeds reaching 700 km/h.

The Peklo missile-drone can loiter in the battlefield area for extended periods before autonomously striking designated targets.

Targeting & Guidance: Equipped with advanced autonomous navigation and satellite-guided systems, the missile is capable of directly engaging targets with minimal human intervention. ([172](#), [173](#), [174](#), [175](#))

AQ-400 Scythe

The AQ-400 Scythe is a cost-effective loitering munition designed primarily for mass production and widespread use. Constructed from plywood for low-cost manufacturing, this missile has a strike range of 750 km and carries a 43 kg payload. It was designed to be deployable in large quantities, providing Ukraine with a highly effective, low-cost strike capability.

The plywood design helps reduce production costs significantly, allowing the system to be mass-produced rapidly, making it an ideal solution for ongoing operations.

Operation: The terminal autonomy feature allows the drone to conduct its strike autonomously, removing the need for human intervention once it is launched. ([176](#), [177](#), [178](#), [179](#))

Bird of Prey

The Bird of Prey is a newly approved combat drone that is optimized for striking heavily fortified enemy positions, such as tanks, self-propelled artillery, and command centers. This drone is designed to carry explosive payloads and target

enemy vehicles in both urban and rural settings. The drone's ability to precisely target armored units makes it especially useful for disabling critical pieces of enemy military hardware.

This system is lightweight, highly maneuverable, and capable of delivering direct impact on fortified structures. Its low radar signature allows it to approach enemy positions stealthily, increasing the chances of successful strikes without detection. ([180](#), [181](#), [182](#), [183](#))

Katran

The Ukrainian defense tech cluster Brave1 has presented a new multi-purpose naval drone called "Katran", developed by Military Armored Company HUB. The "Katran" is designed for autonomous operations in maritime and coastal environments, capable of executing long-range strike and reconnaissance missions. It features onboard electronic warfare capabilities, including automated threat recognition and countermeasure deployment. The platform uses AI-supported targeting systems, laser threat sensors, and advanced navigation tools to maximize operational effectiveness in dynamic combat conditions. Range: up to 1,500 km. Top Speed: up to 130 km/h. Armament: Torpedoes, minigun, machine gun, MANPADS. Electronic warfare & protection. Integrated EW/ELINT suite. Laser threat detection and automatic response. Smoke and heat decoy systems. Automated target acquisition and tracking. ([184](#), [185](#), [186](#), [187](#), [188](#), [189](#))

R-360 Neptune Missile Variant

Ukraine has developed a new ground-launched cruise missile with a 1,000 km range, based on the R-360 Neptune anti-ship missile. Successful combat tests were announced on March 14, 2025, just after Ukraine attacked a Russian oil refinery in Tuapse. While initial reports suggested drones carried out the strike, growing evidence points to the new cruise missile instead. The original Neptune missile had a 400 km range and was used to sink the Russian warship Moskva in 2022. Ukraine's defense industry has since upgraded the Neptune for land attacks, improving its warhead, flight control system, and guidance. The missile now features satellite positioning and infrared targeting for better accuracy.

The Neptune's upgraded design features advanced flight control systems, satellite guidance, and infrared homing capabilities, which enhance its accuracy and effectiveness. The missile can now be launched from both land-based platforms

and naval vessels, providing flexibility for Ukrainian forces to use it in different combat scenarios. ([190](#), [191](#), [192](#), [193](#))

Sea Baby

The Sea Baby, a small, unmanned surface vehicle (USV) designed for direct attacks and reconnaissance, useful for operations in the Black Sea and the Sea of Azov. The Sea Bug is particularly useful in countering the superior Russian naval presence in the Black Sea.

The Sea Baby can deliver up to 850 kilograms of explosives, enabling it to target and damage substantial naval assets. It can reach speeds up to 90 km/h and has an operational range of approximately 1,000 kilometers, allowing for rapid and distant engagements. It is constructed of stealth materials that reduce radar detectability. ([194](#), [195](#), [196](#), [197](#), [203](#))

Magura V5

The Magura V5 maritime drone, armed with R-73 missiles, was successful in striking two Russian Mi-8 helicopters near Crimea, showcasing Ukraine's ability to carry out covert maritime strikes with autonomous drones.

The Magura V5 is a versatile autonomous maritime drone that can be used for intelligence gathering, reconnaissance, and strike missions. It has the ability to strike airborne targets, surface vessels, and even ground installations in maritime areas. The drone's autonomous nature allows it to operate with minimal human intervention, reducing the risk to Ukrainian personnel and allowing for precision strikes without exposing human lives to direct combat.

One of the most notable uses of the Magura V5 was its successful strike against Russian Mi-8 helicopters near Crimea on December 31, 2024. The drone, armed with R-73 missiles, destroyed two Russian Mi-8 helicopters and damaged a third near Crimea on December 31, underscoring the drone's potential for precision strike capabilities in a complex combat environment. ([197](#), [198](#), [199](#), [200](#))

Sea Hunter

This is a Ukrainian-designed unmanned surface vehicle (USV) intended for anti-ship operations. It is part of Ukraine's broader strategy to enhance its maritime defense capabilities, particularly in the Black Sea, where Russia has a significant

naval presence. The Sea Hunter is equipped with various offensive payloads, including explosives, making it a potent weapon in maritime combat. The Sea Hunter has been built with a focus on stealth, allowing it to operate undetected and evade enemy radar and sonar systems.

The Sea Hunter is capable of carrying explosive payloads, which it can deploy against Russian warships, supply vessels, and other strategic maritime targets. The autonomous nature of the drone allows it to carry out these missions with minimal human input, which is especially valuable in areas where Ukrainian personnel may be at significant risk.

The Sea Hunter is particularly useful for hit-and-run tactics. It can approach enemy ships, deliver its payload, and quickly retreat, all without needing to return to base for reloading or refueling. ([201](#), [202](#))

Triton Sea Drone

A Ukrainian-made autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) used primarily for reconnaissance and sabotage missions. The Triton drone can operate underwater and has been successfully employed in maritime conflicts, further strengthening Ukraine's naval defense.

The Triton is a compact, highly maneuverable drone that can operate at depths below the surface, out of reach of conventional radar systems. Its underwater mobility allows it to approach enemy ships and critical underwater infrastructure without detection. The Triton is designed to be used in clandestine operations, where stealth and surprise are critical. Its small size and quiet operation allow it to approach targets undetected and strike before the enemy has time to react.

The reconnaissance capabilities of the Triton make it an excellent tool for gathering intelligence on enemy fleet movements, ship positions, and strategic infrastructure such as ports or naval bases.

The sabotage function is a key feature of the Triton. The drone can carry small explosive charges or other destructive payloads, allowing it to damage or disable enemy ships, submarines, or even undersea infrastructure like pipelines or cables.

Both the Sea Hunter and Triton Sea Drone represent a significant shift in Ukraine's approach to maritime defense. They offer low-cost, high-efficiency tools for countering the superior Russian naval presence in the Black Sea. By leveraging autonomous systems that can operate with minimal human involvement. ([203](#), [204](#), [205](#), [206](#), [207](#), [208](#))

FPV (First Person View) Advances

FPV drones have become a critical asset in the Ukrainian military's arsenal, particularly in modernized warfare. These drones, which offer live video feeds to the operator, have significantly altered the dynamics of modern combat.

Tactical Strikes: The primary use of FPV drones in Ukraine has been for precision strikes on enemy positions. Ukrainian forces have adapted small commercial drones for military use, particularly for targeting Russian personnel, equipment, and artillery. These drones are frequently used in kamikaze missions, where they are flown directly into enemy targets and detonate, causing significant damage with small explosive payloads.

Adaptability and Customization: One of the major benefits of FPV drones is their flexibility. Ukrainian engineers have been able to rapidly customize these drones for different mission types. By attaching explosives, cameras, or communication equipment, FPV drones can perform a variety of tasks, including bombing, surveillance, communication relays, and target identification. Their small size and ease of modification allow them to be rapidly adapted to changing battlefield conditions.

Low-Cost, High-Efficiency: FPV drones offer an affordable solution for Ukraine, as they can be assembled quickly from commercial components. This low-cost nature allows for mass production and makes it easier for Ukraine to quickly scale up its drone operations. In comparison to high-tech military drones, FPV drones are less expensive but still highly effective on the front lines, where speed and agility are key.

Reduced Risk to Personnel: Because FPV drones can be operated remotely, they drastically reduce the exposure of Ukrainian soldiers to direct combat risks. They also enable quick strikes, which are crucial in fast-moving battlefield environments. These drones are being used to target Russian artillery, personnel,

and vehicles, providing Ukrainian forces with a way to engage the enemy while minimizing casualties.

UAV Adaptation for Larger Missions: While FPV drones are often associated with smaller strikes, Ukraine is now scaling up their use for larger operations. Some FPV drones are now equipped with longer flight times, larger payloads, and advanced navigation systems, allowing them to execute complex, multi-stage missions that target more strategic assets deep behind enemy lines.

Ukraine has emerged as a global leader in drone warfare innovation, leveraging cutting-edge autonomous systems, AI-powered targeting, and long-range strike capabilities that have reshaped modern combat. If Russia were to capture and reverse-engineer Ukraine's most advanced drone technologies, it would not only strengthen its own military capabilities but also unlock significant economic and geopolitical advantages through the sale of this technology to U.S. adversaries.

[\(146, 209, 210, 211, 212\)](#)

Russian technological Advances

Russia's control over Ukrainian drone technology would have significant implications for NATO and U.S. defense concerns. By capturing Ukraine's advances in drone warfare, Russia could enhance its own military capabilities while gaining a strategic edge over Western powers. Ukraine's use of long-range UAVs has demonstrated how relatively low-cost drones can bypass sophisticated air defense systems, offering a new kind of asymmetric warfare. If Russia is able to assimilate these capabilities, it could develop next-generation UAVs that would not only bolster its own military but also give Moscow a powerful tool to sell to its adversaries - nations like Iran, North Korea, and various Middle Eastern and African states. This would directly challenge U.S. military dominance in the drone market and allow Russia to exert more influence over rogue states that seek to undermine NATO and Western influence.

Russia has already shared advanced missile technology with North Korea, helping Pyongyang improve its missile targeting accuracy. Similarly, Moscow's support of Iran's UAV programs has expanded the range and lethality of Iranian drones, which are used for proxy conflicts in the Middle East. If Russia can acquire Ukrainian-designed drones, it would likely bolster these technologies, making

them even more appealing to rogue states or non-state actors who wish to challenge the U.S. and NATO. This technology transfer would destabilize key regions, such as the Middle East and East Asia, by enabling adversaries like North Korea and Iran to deploy advanced UAVs for precision strikes or saturation attacks.

The proliferation of these capabilities could shift the balance of power in asymmetric warfare, particularly in proxy wars and regional conflicts. Iran, for example, could integrate these drones into its proxy network to counter U.S. allies in the Middle East. North Korea might use them for strikes against South Korea, increasing tensions on the Korean Peninsula. For China, access to advanced drone technologies could further enhance its naval tactics in the South China Sea, giving it an advantage over U.S. carrier strike groups. The use of drone swarms, coordinated through AI, could overwhelm U.S. defense systems, posing a significant challenge to NATO and U.S. military doctrine.

For NATO and the U.S., the acquisition of Ukrainian drone technologies by Russia would significantly impact military strategy. Drones with enhanced precision, AI-driven autonomy, and improved evasion capabilities could bypass traditional defense systems. This would undermine NATO's defense posture by introducing a new generation of saturation tactics, where large swarms of drones overwhelm and cripple air defense systems. Russia could also use these drones for real-time intelligence gathering, providing tactical advantages on the battlefield and posing a direct threat to NATO's military infrastructure.

Overall, Russia's potential to integrate and export advanced UAV technology from Ukraine poses a serious threat to U.S. and NATO military superiority. It would not only enhance Russia's military capabilities but also allow it to proliferate these technologies to adversaries, destabilizing key regions and undermining U.S. strategic interests globally. By gaining access to Ukrainian drone warfare advancements, Russia could shift the global balance of power in asymmetric warfare, further challenging U.S. influence and diminishing NATO's technological advantage.

By gaining access to Ukrainian drone technology, Russia stands to make substantial economic profits. As demand for low-cost, effective drones increases globally, especially in regions like the Middle East, Africa, and with adversaries of

the U.S., Russia could position itself as a leading exporter of advanced UAV systems. The global drone market is booming, with countries seeking alternatives to expensive missile systems and fighter jets. By assimilating Ukrainian drone innovations, Russia could produce next-generation UAVs for both domestic use and international sales, gaining economic leverage while simultaneously strengthening its alliances with rogue states and adversaries of the U.S. This would not only bolster Russia's military-industrial complex but also provide a significant revenue stream, allowing Moscow to exert greater geopolitical influence through the arms trade.

References:

113. <https://breakingdefense.com/2025/03/trained-on-classified-battlefield-data-ai-multiplies-effectiveness-of-ukraines-drones-report/>
114. <https://www.businessinsider.com/russia-flying-fewer-types-drones-over-ukraine-easier-to-target-2025-4>
115. <https://www.businessinsider.com/marines-create-attack-drone-team-drawing-lessons-from-modern-war-2025-4>
116. <https://breakingdefense.com/2025/03/trained-on-classified-battlefield-data-ai-multiplies-effectiveness-of-ukraines-drones-report/>
117. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/ukraines-future-vision-and-current-capabilities-waging-ai-enabled-autonomous-warfare>
118. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/understanding-military-ai-ecosystem-ukraine>
119. <https://mwi.westpoint.edu/battlefield-drones-and-the-accelerating-autonomous-arms-race-in-ukraine/>
120. <https://www.techpolicy.press/military-ai-lessons-from-ukraine/>
121. <https://www.economist.com/science-and-technology/2025/03/12/ukraines-embrace-of-drone-warfare-has-paid-off>
122. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/ukraines-tech-excellence-is-playing-a-vital-role-in-the-war-against-russia/>
123. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/defense-industrial-base-lessons-russia-ukraine-conflict-focus>
124. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/how-ukraine-rebuilt-its-military-acquisition-system-around-commercial-technology>
125. <https://ecfr.eu/publication/star-tech-enterprise-emerging-technologies-in-russias-war-on-ukraine/>
126. <https://cepa.org/comprehensive-reports/a-new-vision-for-the-transatlantic-alliance-the-future-of-european-security-the-united-states-and-the-world-order-after-russias-war-in-ukraine/>
127. <https://www.gmfus.org/sites/default/files/2024-10/Mysyshyn%20-%20Ukraine%20war%20tech%20-%20paper.pdf>

128. https://www.jhuapl.edu/sites/default/files/2025-01/WizardWarfare_final.pdf
129. <https://jamestown.org/program/russia-weaponizes-the-zaporizhzhia-nuclear-power-plant/>
130. [https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/whatsinblue/2024/04/ukrain
e-briefing-on-the-zaporizhzhia-nuclear-power-plant-3.php](https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/whatsinblue/2024/04/ukraine-briefing-on-the-zaporizhzhia-nuclear-power-plant-3.php)
131. [https://www.wilsoncenter.org/blog-post/why-zaporizhzhia-nuclear-
power-plant-matters-for-whole-world](https://www.wilsoncenter.org/blog-post/why-zaporizhzhia-nuclear-power-plant-matters-for-whole-world)
132. [https://united24media.com/war-in-ukraine/why-europe-cant-afford-
to-lose-its-largest-nuclear-plant-to-russia-7036](https://united24media.com/war-in-ukraine/why-europe-cant-afford-to-lose-its-largest-nuclear-plant-to-russia-7036)
133. https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/topics_37750.htm
134. https://www.nato.int/cps/fr/natohq/topics_50090.htm
135. [https://www.russiamatters.org/blog/3-years-later-what-russias-
aggression-ukraine-has-cost-it-and-what-its-gained](https://www.russiamatters.org/blog/3-years-later-what-russias-aggression-ukraine-has-cost-it-and-what-its-gained)
136. [https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/why-ukraine-
shouldnt-negotiate-with-putin/](https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/why-ukraine-shouldnt-negotiate-with-putin/)
137. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta_%28situational_awareness_syst
em%29](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta_%28situational_awareness_system%29)
138. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brave1>
139. [https://www.businessinsider.com/sweden-rushing-create-swarm-
drones-not-seen-ukraine-russia-war-2025-4](https://www.businessinsider.com/sweden-rushing-create-swarm-drones-not-seen-ukraine-russia-war-2025-4)
140. [https://www.gmfus.org/news/advanced-technologies-war-ukraine-
risks-democracy-and-human-rights](https://www.gmfus.org/news/advanced-technologies-war-ukraine-risks-democracy-and-human-rights)
141. [https://www.cfr.org/article/how-drone-war-ukraine-transforming-
conflict](https://www.cfr.org/article/how-drone-war-ukraine-transforming-conflict)
142. <https://lieber.westpoint.edu/continuing-autonomous-arms-race/>
143. [https://mwi.westpoint.edu/the-russian-way-of-war-in-ukraine-a-
military-approach-nine-decades-in-the-making/](https://mwi.westpoint.edu/the-russian-way-of-war-in-ukraine-a-military-approach-nine-decades-in-the-making/)
144. [https://cepa.org/article/between-killer-robots-and-flawless-ai-
reassessing-the-military-implications-of-autonomy/](https://cepa.org/article/between-killer-robots-and-flawless-ai-reassessing-the-military-implications-of-autonomy/)
145. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wild_Hornets

146. <https://medium.com/illumination-curated/how-to-build-an-fpv-combat-drone-for-military-purposes-ce549f24efca>
147. <https://insideunmannedsystems.com/warfare-in-ukraine-shows-how-small-uas-can-work-in-battle/>
148. <https://breakingdefense.com/2023/06/dumb-and-cheap-when-facing-electronic-warfare-in-ukraine-small-drones-quantity-is-quality/>
149. <https://oe.tradoc.army.mil/product/ukrainian-unmanned-aerial-system-tactics/>
150. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta_%28situational_awareness_system%29
151. <https://www.wsj.com/world/ukraine-advances-killer-robot-drones-with-more-automation-efficiency-0ab132a6>
152. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/understanding-military-ai-ecosystem-ukraine>
153. <https://breakingdefense.com/2025/03/trained-on-classified-battlefield-data-ai-multiplies-effectiveness-of-ukraines-drones-report/>
154. <https://www.eetimes.eu/drones-with-edge-ai-the-future-of-warfare/>
155. <https://mwi.westpoint.edu/battlefield-drones-and-the-accelerating-autonomous-arms-race-in-ukraine/>
156. <https://www.c4isrnet.com/battlefield-tech/2022/10/17/how-ukraine-learned-to-cloak-its-drones-from-russian-surveillance/>
157. <https://www.defenseone.com/threats/2023/12/ukraines-soldiers-use-cheap-tech-hide-russias-deadly-drones/392957/>
158. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/vikrammittal/2025/04/02/russians-capture-ukrainian-drones-which-infect-their-systems-with-malware/>
159. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/davidhambling/2025/03/20/british-software-will-protect-ukrainian-drones-from-cyber-attack/>
160. <https://www.economist.com/europe/2024/12/02/how-ukraine-uses-cheap-ai-guided-drones-to-deadly-effect-against-russia>
161. <https://breakingdefense.com/2025/03/trained-on-classified-battlefield-data-ai-multiplies-effectiveness-of-ukraines-drones-report/>

162. <https://euromaidanpress.com/2025/02/21/as-russians-catch-up-with-naval-drone-tech-ukraine-is-developing-countermeasures/>
163. <https://www.eurasiantimes.com/ukraines-advanced-v-bat-drone-faces-new-threat-from-russia/>
164. <https://skyeton.com/en/raybird/>
165. <https://kyivindependent.com/ukrainian-drone-with-3-000-kilometer-range-has-passed-tests-zelensky-says/>
166. <https://interestingengineering.com/military/ukraine-1864-mile-long-range-drone>
167. <https://mod.gov.ua/en/news/raybird-a-small-birdie-with-the-capabilities-of-a-large-aircraft>
168. <https://kyivindependent.com/everything-we-know-about-ukraines-new-palanytsia-missile-drone/>
169. <https://kyivindependent.com/ukraines-new-palanytsia-missile-drone-enters-serial-production-defense-minister-says/>
170. <https://meduza.io/en/feature/2024/08/30/meet-the-palanytsia>
171. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/davidhambling/2024/08/28/what-we-know-about-ukraines-mysterious-new-rocket-drone/>
172. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/ukraine-is-expanding-its-long-range-arsenal-for-deep-strikes-inside-russia/>
173. <https://defence-blog.com/ukraine-unveils-top-secret-underground-missile-plant/>
174. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ldtZ8My341c>
175. <https://www.pravda.com.ua/eng/articles/2024/12/17/7489459/>
176. <https://thedefensepost.com/2023/12/19/ukraine-shahed-kamikaze-drone/>
177. <https://terminalautonomy.com/aq400-scythe/>
178. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terminal_Autonomy_AQ-400_Scythe
179. <https://www.twz.com/ukraines-scythe-drone-is-all-about-striking-far-away-as-cheaply-as-possible>
180. <https://mod.gov.ua/en/news/birds-of-prey-join-the-fight-to-destroy-enemy-forces>

181. <https://mil.in.ua/en/news/ukraine-deploys-locally-made-bird-of-prey-fpv-drone-for-combat/>
182. <https://kyivindependent.com/ukraine-approves-bird-of-prey-drone-system-for-combat-use/>
183. <https://dronelife.com/2025/03/26/ukraine-expands-drone-capabilities-with-new-approvals-and-ambitious-procurement-plans/>
184. <https://www.dailykos.com/stories/2025/3/26/2312600/-ADAPTIVE-INDOMITABLE-UKRAINE-What-Will-they-Think-of-Next-The-KATRAN-Ukraine-s-Swiss-Knife-Drone>
185. <https://armyrecognition.com/news/navy-news/2025/ukraine-affirms-naval-drone-expertise-with-katran-autonomous-multifunctional-platform-armed-with-torpedoes>
186. <https://charter97.org/en/news/2025/3/27/634578/>
187. <https://armyrecognition.com/news/navy-news/2025/ukraine-affirms-naval-drone-expertise-with-katran-autonomous-multifunctional-platform-armed-with-torpedoes>
188. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l1XtrSaLGbc>
189. <https://en.defence-ua.com/weapon-and-tech/ukrainian-developers-introduce-the-katran-multifunctional-drone-engineered-for-maritime-ground-and-aerial-engagements-13964.html>
190. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/davidaxe/2025/03/15/ukraine-has-a-600-mile-cruise-missile/>
191. <https://defence-industry.eu/ukraine-develops-1000-km-range-cruise-missile-based-on-r-360-neptune-project/>
192. <https://www.politico.eu/article/ukraines-long-range-neptune-cruise-r360-missile-donald-trump-card/>
193. <https://thedefensepost.com/2025/03/18/ukraine-tests-neptune-missile/>
194. <https://u24.gov.ua/seababy>
195. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/davidaxe/2024/12/09/ukraines-sea-baby-drone-boats-shoot-back-now/>

196. <https://apnews.com/article/russia-ukraine-war-sea-drones-explosives-1b0974b77e32d6b5e9409ba3451716c6>
197. <https://spectrum.ieee.org/sea-drone>
198. <https://theaviationist.com/2024/12/31/ukrainian-magura-usv-r-73-vs-mi-8-helicopter/>
199. <https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/ukraine-says-naval-drone-destroys-russian-helicopter-first-time-2024-12-31/>
200. <https://www.twz.com/air/ukraine-has-another-new-sam-system-that-fires-soviet-r-73-air-to-air-missiles>
201. <https://www.economist.com/the-economist-explains/2024/06/12/ukraine-has-a-navy-that-needs-no-sailors>
202. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sea_Hunter
203. <https://www.twz.com/new-drone-boat-named-sea-baby-used-in-kerch-bridge-attack>
204. <https://www.oceanaero.com/the-triton>
205. <https://spectrum.ieee.org/sea-drone>
206. <https://www.indrastra.com/2023/11/ocean-aeros-triton-ausv-game-changing.html>
207. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jc9Kqui9Qf8>
208. <https://euro-sd.com/2024/09/articles/40191/sea-drones-at-war-tactical-operational-and-strategic-analysis-of-maritime-uncrewed-systems/>
209. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/ukrainealert/fpv-drones-in-ukraine-are-changing-modern-warfare/>
210. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/ukraines-future-vision-and-current-capabilities-waging-ai-enabled-autonomous-warfare>
211. <https://bulgarianmilitary.com/2025/03/30/fpv-drone-with-double-barrel-hunting-shotgun-hits-russian-uavs/>
212. <https://embleholics.com/fpv-drones-in-modern-warfare/?srsItd=AfmBOorN4Y2zVWsQtJsnKYGZtnSIHxhscMZrdxS5L4MRedEn4hkTw2MA>

Part 3

Ukraine Mineral Wealth

Abstract

Ukraine's mineral resources, particularly those in the Azov region, are vital not only for the nation's economic and technological advancement but also for the broader geopolitical landscape, with profound implications for global energy security, defense industries, and the United States' future strategic position. The Sea of Azov and adjacent regions, encompassing Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, Luhansk, and Crimea, are rich in critical minerals such as uranium, thorium, rare earth elements (REEs), gold, cobalt, nickel, graphite, titanium, and lithium—resources that underpin modern energy systems, defense technologies, and high-tech industries worldwide. These minerals hold not only economic value but strategic importance that extends far beyond Ukraine's borders, particularly in the context of the ongoing conflict with Russia.

Ukraine's Zaporizhzhia Oblast stands out for its significant uranium and thorium deposits, key for nuclear power, while its gold, nickel, cobalt, and REEs contribute to essential green energy technologies and advanced military systems. Donetsk is a strategic hub for coal, uranium, and REEs, along with gold and graphite, which position it as a potential supplier for both Ukraine's energy independence and Europe's transition to renewable energy. Luhansk similarly harbors substantial reserves of uranium, graphite, cobalt, and nickel, which are indispensable for battery production and defense technologies. These areas' strategic importance extends well beyond local development, as their exploitation would be critical for Europe's energy autonomy and technological growth, particularly in the green energy transition.

Crimea: With its unexplored REE potential, including minerals like monazite—has immense value in supporting both Ukraine's and the global demand for high-tech and military applications. The Sea of Azov, long regarded for its promising uranium, REEs, and cobalt deposits, offers additional untapped resources that could reshape regional power dynamics and global supply chains.

The strategic importance of these mineral resources cannot be overstated, especially in the current geopolitical climate. Russia's continued military advances

and potential control over these mineral-rich regions would significantly enhance its leverage over global energy and technology markets, directly threatening U.S. economic interests and security. Russia's control over Ukraine's critical mineral resources would allow it to dominate the production of rare earth elements, nuclear materials, and battery metals, materials that are essential for U.S. industries, including electric vehicle production, renewable energy, defense, and aerospace technologies. Such a shift would give Russia substantial economic and technological power, undermining the U.S.'s competitive edge in critical industries, while potentially making Europe even more dependent on Russian-controlled resources. The U.S. could face severe economic consequences as its access to these strategic materials becomes increasingly constrained.

Moreover, Ukraine's ability to retain and develop these resources is pivotal not only for its sovereignty and economic security but also for the U.S.'s long-term strategic stability. If Ukraine can secure and develop its mineral wealth, it would help mitigate Russia's influence, potentially reducing the global dependence on Russian exports and shifting supply chains away from Russian dominance. This would secure Ukraine as a reliable partner in providing uranium, thorium, cobalt, nickel, and REEs, all of which are critical for U.S. industries, reducing reliance on adversarial powers like Russia and China. Ukraine's mineral resources could bolster both its energy independence and technological innovation, reinforcing its strategic alignment with Western powers.

The ongoing geopolitical struggle in Ukraine has far-reaching implications for global security and the U.S.'s future economic stability. The Azov region's vast mineral wealth—ranging from uranium and thorium to rare earth elements, gold, cobalt, and nickel—is a critical asset that the U.S. and its allies must work to protect. A Russian foothold in these territories would not only jeopardize Ukraine's sovereignty but would directly threaten global supply chains and the future technological and defense capabilities of the U.S. Securing these resources for Ukraine is not just about regional control—it is about preserving the strategic balance in the global economy, ensuring U.S. access to vital materials, and safeguarding future technological advancements and defense capabilities.

In conclusion, the strategic value of Ukraine's mineral resources in the Sea of Azov and its adjacent regions extends far beyond national borders, with significant implications for U.S. economic and military interests. Russia's advances in

securing these resources could potentially shift the balance of power in favor of authoritarian regimes, threatening the U.S.'s leadership in key global industries and technologies. Therefore, supporting Ukraine in retaining control over its mineral wealth is crucial for both its sovereignty and the long-term security and prosperity of the United States and its allies.

Ukraine's Rare Earth Elements (REEs)

Land Resources

Ukraine's diverse geological landscape endows it with much of the modern mineral resources required for a new society, including critical materials such as uranium, thorium, and rare earth elements (REEs). These resources are strategically significant, for Ukraine and the U.S. particularly in the context of geopolitical tensions with Russia. Below is an overview of Ukraine's mineral deposits by region, their economic values, and the strategic importance of securing uranium, thorium, and other REE reserves. ([213](#), [214](#), [215](#), [216](#), [217](#), [218](#), [219](#), [220](#), [263](#))

Titanium:

Ukraine boasts the largest titanium reserves in Europe, with 15 identified deposits, four of which are currently being developed. Major titanium resources are located in the Kyiv, Dnipro, Kharkiv, and Donetsk regions. ([221](#), [222](#), [223](#))

Titanium is an essential material in aerospace and defense industries due to its strength-to-weight ratio, corrosion resistance, and high-performance capabilities. It is a critical component in aircraft, missiles, and space exploration technologies, making its availability strategically important for any nation including the U.S. seeking dominance in these high-tech sectors. Additionally, titanium is used in medical devices and high-end industrial applications, further cementing its importance in modern technologies. Control over titanium deposits offers a strategic advantage in both defense readiness and global industrial power. ([224](#), [225](#), [226](#), [227](#), [228](#), [229](#))

Lithium:

Lithium deposits are found in the Kirovohrad region (Polokhivske and Dobra deposits) and in the Donetsk and Zaporizhzhia regions (Shevchenkivske and Kruta Balka deposits). ([280](#), [286](#))

Lithium is central to the production of batteries, particularly lithium-ion batteries, which power everything from electric vehicles (EVs) to mobile phones, laptops, and renewable energy storage systems. As the world shifts toward clean energy and electric mobility, the demand for lithium has surged. It is an essential element for energy storage solutions, clean transportation, and grid stabilization in a decarbonized economy. Nations with significant lithium reserves will hold considerable sway over the global energy transition, making lithium a strategic resource in the fight against climate change and for technological dominance. As well as it being important to keep Russia from having the upper hand. ([230](#), [231](#), [232](#), [233](#), [234](#))

Manganese:

Ukraine possesses some of the world's largest manganese reserves, primarily concentrated in the Nikopol Basin within the Dnipropetrovsk and Zaporizhzhia. ([235](#), [236](#), [237](#), [238](#), [239](#))

Manganese is primarily used in the production of steel, where it acts as a strengthening agent and helps remove impurities. It is essential for producing high-quality alloys and batteries. The strategic value of manganese lies in its role in military and industrial manufacturing. As military technologies and energy storage systems become more sophisticated, the demand for manganese in high-performance alloys and battery production increases. Control of manganese resources thus would provide The U.S. and Ukraine with an advantage in defense manufacturing and technological advancements. ([240](#), [241](#), [242](#), [245](#), [246](#))

According to the CIA, the former Soviet bloc countries of Ukraine and Georgia held 90% of all the manganese in the entire USSR. At the time, Nikopol had the world's largest concentration. Post World War II, until about 1952, there was a manganese shortage in the world because the USSR restricted its export, forcing the west to scramble. ([239](#))

Uranium:

Significant uranium deposits are located in the Dnipropetrovsk and Kirovohrad regions. Ukraine has initiated a program to achieve self-sufficiency in uranium production by 2027, aiming to fully meet the needs of its nuclear energy sector and enhance energy independence.

Uranium is the primary fuel for nuclear reactors, providing essential energy for both civil and military applications. It is a cornerstone for nuclear power generation, which provides low-carbon energy crucial to combating climate change. On the military front, uranium is vital for the production of nuclear weapons, making control over uranium-rich regions a major strategic priority for global powers. Uranium's versatility in civilian nuclear energy and military nuclear technologies underscores its global geopolitical value. Countries with uranium supplies can leverage them for energy security, economic development, and military deterrence. ([231](#), [247](#), [248](#), [249](#), [250](#), [251](#), [254](#))

Thorium:

Thorium has been identified primarily in the Dibrova deposit, located within the Azov Megablock of the Ukrainian Shield. This deposit is particularly noted for thorium-uranium-rare earth elements (REE) mineralization, and it is associated with Paleoproterozoic tectono-magmatic events. The Dibrova deposit is located in Zaporizhzhia Oblast, specifically in the vicinity of the Azov Sea. This area is known for its tectonic activity and the presence of metamorphic rocks that facilitate thorium mineralization.

Thorium is a critical element for nuclear energy production. While uranium is the primary fuel for nuclear reactors, thorium is considered a safer, more sustainable alternative with the potential for less nuclear waste production. Thorium-based reactors are seen as a potential future technology for the global energy market due to their ability to operate on lower-grade fuel and reduce proliferation risks. Thorium's potential role in advanced nuclear technologies makes it highly strategic for countries seeking energy independence and sustainability. Additionally, thorium-based reactors could be key in next-generation nuclear power and nuclear weapons proliferation containment. ([250](#), [252](#), [253](#), [254](#))

A Brief on Thorium Reactors:

Thorium reactors could indeed play a significant role in the future of nuclear energy, offering several advantages over traditional uranium-based reactors. While they are not yet widely deployed, there has been increasing interest in thorium reactors due to their potential to provide a safer, more efficient, and environmentally friendly alternative to conventional nuclear power. Here are some of the key reasons why thorium reactors are seen as a promising.

Thorium reactors, especially those designed in the liquid fluoride thorium reactor (LFTR) model, have inherent safety features that make them less prone to catastrophic failures compared to uranium-based reactors. Unlike uranium, thorium is fertile, meaning it must be converted into a fissile material like uranium-233 in a reactor before it can produce energy. In the event of a malfunction, thorium reactors would naturally shut down, reducing the risk of a meltdown. Additionally, thorium reactors typically operate at low pressures, minimizing the risk of steam explosions that can occur in traditional reactors.

One of the significant advantages of thorium reactors is the reduced production of nuclear waste. Unlike uranium reactors, which create long-lived radioactive isotopes like plutonium, thorium reactors produce far fewer long-lived nuclear byproducts. This makes the waste more manageable, as it decays more quickly. Moreover, thorium is proliferation-resistant because it doesn't produce plutonium as a byproduct, which can be used in nuclear weapons. This makes thorium reactors an appealing option for nations concerned about nuclear nonproliferation.

Thorium-based reactors have the potential to be more efficient than traditional uranium reactors. Thorium has a higher neutron economy, meaning it produces more fission reactions per unit of fuel, which can lead to higher fuel utilization rates. In theory, thorium reactors can extract far more energy from the fuel, leading to lower fuel costs and less frequent refueling.

Thorium is much more abundant than uranium, with an estimated global supply sufficient for thousands of years at current consumption rates. It is also widely distributed across the globe, meaning countries can potentially access their own domestic thorium resources, reducing dependence on foreign uranium suppliers. This could enhance energy security for many nations and lower fuel costs in the long term.

While thorium reactors are not yet commercially viable on a large scale, they do hold great potential as part of the future of nuclear energy. Their inherent safety features, reduced nuclear waste, fuel efficiency, and proliferation resistance make them an attractive alternative to uranium-based reactors, especially as the world seeks to transition to cleaner and safer sources of energy. As research and development in thorium reactor technology continue, it's possible that thorium reactors could become a significant part of the global energy mix in the future. However, overcoming technological, regulatory, and financial challenges will be key to realizing their full potential. ([255](#), [256](#), [257](#), [258](#), [259](#), [260](#))

Gold:

Gold in the Azov region is typically found in metamorphic and sedimentary rocks within the Donetsk and Zaporizhzhia regions, with some deposits in Luhansk as well. The Donetsk Oblast has known occurrences of gold in its metamorphosed sediments, often associated with small-scale placer deposits in river systems. Zaporizhzhia Oblast also hosts gold-bearing quartz veins and small deposits in the metamorphic terranes of the Dnieper-Donets Basin.

Gold is a global store of value and has been historically central to financial systems. Its strategic importance lies not only in currency reserves but also in technological applications such as in electronics and aerospace. Gold's non-reactive properties make it a key component in high-performance electronics like smartphones, computers, and military devices, as well as satellite and aviation technology. Moreover, gold reserves are used as a hedge against inflation and economic instability, which is why it holds tremendous strategic value in times of geopolitical or financial crisis. ([261](#), [262](#), [263](#), [264](#))

Cobalt:

Cobalt is often found in association with nickel and copper ores. In Ukraine, the Luhansk and Donetsk regions have reported cobalt mineralization, typically as part of polymetallic deposits. Luhansk is known for its basaltic formations containing nickel-cobalt deposits. The Donetsk region, particularly around the Zhdanovskoye and Kursk ore districts, also has cobalt-nickel ores. These regions are part of the broader Donbas coal and metallurgical complex, where such polymetallic deposits are abundant. ([265](#), [266](#), [267](#))

Cobalt is critical in the production of high-energy batteries, especially for electric vehicles, renewable energy storage, and electronics. It is a key component in lithium-ion batteries, which are the backbone of the electric vehicle industry. As global demand for EVs and clean energy increases, so too does the demand for cobalt. Furthermore, cobalt plays a role in superalloys used in aerospace, military, and industrial applications due to its ability to withstand high temperatures and stress. The global push toward sustainable energy makes cobalt a strategic material for both economic and environmental security. ([268](#), [269](#), [270](#))

Nickel:

Nickel deposits are primarily found in the Luhansk and Donetsk regions, particularly associated with ultrabasic rocks. Luhansk Oblast is known to have nickel-bearing mineralization in serpentized rocks, with significant occurrences near the Kharkiv-Luhansk fault zone. The Donetsk region also contains nickel ores in metamorphosed ultramafic rocks. These deposits are essential for nickel extraction in industrial applications, particularly in the steel production and battery industries. ([271](#), [272](#))

Nickel is used extensively in the production of stainless steel, batteries, and aerospace components. It is critical for the energy transition, especially in electric vehicle battery production where it enhances energy density and extends battery life. As the demand for EVs, renewable energy systems, and energy storage grows, nickel is becoming increasingly important for the green energy economy. Additionally, nickel's use in military technologies and high-performance alloys solidifies its strategic importance. Countries with access to rich nickel deposits have the potential to secure economic leverage and technological superiority. ([273](#), [274](#), [275](#), [276](#), [277](#))

Iron:

Iron ore deposits are abundant throughout the Azov region, especially in Zaporizhzhia and Donetsk Oblasts. The Donetsk Basin, also known as the Donbas, is one of Ukraine's most important iron ore-producing regions, with significant reserves of iron ore in the Krivoy Rog district. Zaporizhzhia Oblast also has considerable iron ore deposits in the Zaporozhye region. These areas are strategically important for Ukraine's steel industry, which is one of the largest in Europe. ([278](#), [279](#), [280](#), [281](#))

Iron is a foundational material in the production of steel, which is used in nearly every industrial and infrastructure sector. The strategic importance of iron lies in its role in construction, manufacturing, defense, and transportation industries. Steel is used in the creation of military hardware, vehicles, ships, bridges, and buildings. Iron-rich regions enable nations to ensure self-sufficiency in steel production, which is crucial for both economic development and military readiness. Control over iron resources is vital for industrial strength, energy infrastructure, and defense capabilities. ([282](#), [283](#))

Graphite:

Graphite deposits are located in the Donetsk and Zaporizhzhia regions. The Donetsk Oblast contains graphite-bearing deposits, particularly in metamorphosed carbonaceous rocks. Zaporizhzhia also has graphite occurrences associated with marble and calcite veins. While not as large-scale as some other regions globally, the Azov region has seen interest in exploiting these deposits for both industrial uses and battery production, especially in the context of the growing demand for electric vehicles. ([284](#), [285](#), [286](#))

Graphite is a crucial material for batteries, electronics, steel production, and nuclear reactors. Its strategic importance has increased with the rise of electric vehicles and the battery industry, as it is a key component in lithium-ion batteries. Additionally, graphite is used in nuclear reactors as moderators and inert materials. Its use in advanced manufacturing, aerospace, and military technologies makes graphite indispensable for both economic security and technological dominance. Access to high-quality graphite is thus a critical advantage for countries investing in clean energy and high-tech industries. ([287](#), [288](#), [289](#), [290](#))

Rare Earth Elements (REEs):

While Ukraine has potential REE deposits, comprehensive geological surveys are limited. Some known deposits are situated in regions currently under conflict, complicating exploration and extraction efforts. Developing these resources requires significant investment and a stable political environment.

Strategic Importance of Uranium and Thorium:

Securing uranium and thorium reserves is paramount for Ukraine's national security and energy independence. These elements are critical for nuclear power generation, which accounts for a substantial portion of Ukraine's electricity supply. Preventing these resources from falling under Russian control is essential to maintain Ukraine's sovereignty and to deny Russia additional leverage in the region. The ongoing conflict underscores the need for Ukraine to protect and develop its mineral wealth to support economic resilience and strategic autonomy.

In summary, Ukraine's mineral resources are both economically valuable and strategically significant. The current geopolitical situation necessitates focused

efforts to secure and develop these assets, ensuring they contribute to Ukraine's energy security and economic stability while preventing potential exploitation by adversarial forces.

The strategic importance of thorium, uranium, gold, titanium, lithium, cobalt, nickel, iron, manganese, and graphite - cannot be overstated in the modern geopolitical landscape. Their applications are foundational to nuclear energy, clean transportation, advanced military capabilities, and technological innovation. Nations controlling significant reserves of these resources can leverage them for economic advantage, energy security, and military power, making them highly coveted in global trade and conflict dynamics. As such, the competition for access to these minerals is a defining feature of 21st-century geopolitics.

The Sea of Azov - Untapped Strategic Mineral Wealth

The Sea of Azov is a largely unexplored but geologically promising region for valuable mineral deposits. The area, particularly its offshore and coastal zones, is believed to contain significant rare earth elements (REEs) in its seabed sediments. These minerals are essential for high-tech industries, including electronics, renewable energy, and military applications. Additionally, there is potential for uranium and thorium deposits in offshore locations, given the geological similarities to nearby onshore reserves in Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk and Luhansk. These nuclear materials are vital for Ukraine's energy independence and must be secured to prevent Russian exploitation.

Beyond rare earths and nuclear materials, the Azov basin also holds untapped hydrocarbon resources, including natural gas and oil, which could enhance Ukraine's energy security if properly developed. The region may also contain placer deposits of titanium and manganese along the coastline, critical for industrial and military applications such as aerospace, steel production, and defense technology. However, the ongoing war and Russian occupation of key ports like Mariupol and Berdyansk threaten Ukraine's ability to access and develop these resources.

Strategic Importance of the Sea of Azov

Control over the Sea of Azov is not just about territorial dominance - it directly impacts Ukraine's economic resilience and national security. The area's mineral wealth could significantly boost Ukraine's industrial capabilities, while its

hydrocarbon reserves offer a path to greater energy independence. Furthermore, denying Russia access to these resources prevents them from strengthening their own industrial and military sectors. The naval and trade routes of the Azov coast also play a crucial role in Ukraine's ability to export minerals and maintain economic stability. Securing this region is imperative to ensuring Ukraine's long-term sovereignty and resource control in the face of continued Russian aggression.

Ukraine's Sea of Azov region, spanning from Kherson to Luhansk, is rich in strategic mineral resources, particularly uranium, thorium, and rare earth elements (REEs). Each oblast along the coast holds unique deposits that are vital for Ukraine's energy security, defense industry, and technological advancements.

Strategic Mineral Wealth in Ukraine's Azov Region

Kherson Oblast

While primarily known for its agricultural importance, Kherson's coastal sands contain heavy mineral deposits that may hold zirconium, titanium, and potential thorium-bearing minerals. Though not a significant hub for gold, cobalt, nickel, or graphite, Kherson's strategic location is crucial for resource transportation and maritime access.

Zaporizhzhia Oblast

In addition to high-grade uranium and thorium in the Dibrova deposit, Zaporizhzhia has significant gold reserves in the Berdyansk and Vasylivka areas. These deposits are associated with Precambrian granitoid formations, making them an attractive target for gold extraction. The region also contains nickel, cobalt, and rare earth elements (REEs)—critical for battery technologies, aerospace, and military applications. Graphite deposits are present as well, though they are less developed compared to central Ukraine's Kirovohrad deposits.

Donetsk Oblast

Donetsk is best known for its industrial and energy resources, including coal, REEs, and uranium. However, it also has deposits of gold and graphite, particularly in the Kreminna district. Nickel and cobalt have been identified in iron-rich formations, making them vital for high-performance batteries and advanced

electronics. If Ukraine develops these deposits, Donetsk could become a major supplier of critical materials for the green energy transition and defense technologies.

Luhansk Oblast

Luhansk holds untapped uranium reserves, as well as significant graphite deposits near Svatove and Kreminna. The region also contains cobalt and nickel associated with ferrous metal formations, making it strategically important for battery production and defense applications. In addition, there are gold prospects in the northern parts of the oblast, though they remain largely underexplored. Given Russia's interest in controlling battery metals and nuclear materials, securing Luhansk is crucial for Ukraine's energy independence and technological development.

The Luhansk region also holds potential for monazite and other REEs, though the area has been less explored for mineral resources compared to Crimea. The region's metamorphic and sedimentary rocks may be conducive to the formation of monazite deposits, especially in areas with granitoid intrusions, which are often associated with rare earth mineralization. The presence of titanite in Luhansk further adds to its strategic value, as it can be used to extract titanium, a critical metal for military, aerospace, and industrial applications.

Crimea

In Crimea, rare earth elements (REEs) are present, though their exploration and extraction have been severely hindered by geopolitical challenges, especially following Russia's annexation of Crimea in 2014. Before this event, Crimea was identified as a region with significant potential for rare earth element deposits, particularly in areas like the Crimean Mountains and surrounding regions, which have long been considered prospective zones for such resources. These areas, especially the Simferopol district, are geologically characterized by metamorphosed rocks that have undergone intense pressure and heat, creating favorable conditions for REE mineralization. The Crimean Mountains, along with surrounding pegmatitic zones, contain minerals like monazite, a phosphate mineral that is rich in lanthanides, including neodymium, cerium, and lanthanum, which are among the most sought-after REEs for high-tech and military applications.

Monazite deposits, in particular, are of interest, as they are known to host a variety of rare earth elements crucial for clean energy technologies, electronics, and military systems. The presence of other minerals such as zircon and titanite further points to the region's potential for REE extraction, although this potential has not been fully explored or exploited due to the complex political situation. The Crimean region's granitoid and pegmatitic formations provide an additional geological basis for the occurrence of REEs, though further exploration is necessary to confirm their viability for commercial use.

The strategic importance of Crimea's REE deposits lies primarily in their use for critical technologies, including high-strength magnets (like neodymium), batteries (such as those using lanthanum), and catalysts (which rely on cerium). These materials are integral to industries ranging from renewable energy and electric vehicles to military applications. With the increasing global demand for these elements, Crimea's REE deposits present a significant opportunity. However, the region's geopolitical instability significantly limits the ability to develop and commercialize these resources. The control over such materials offers considerable strategic leverage, particularly as the global supply of REEs is concentrated in only a few countries, making any potential discoveries in Crimea highly valuable.

In summary, while Crimea's REE deposits remain an under-explored and largely untapped resource, they hold considerable strategic importance due to their potential applications in advanced technologies and defense sectors. The political situation in the region, however, complicates the ability to fully assess or exploit these resources, and their future development is uncertain as a result of ongoing geopolitical tensions.

Strategic Importance of Crimea And The Azov Oblasts

The presence of gold, cobalt, nickel, graphite, uranium, and thorium across the Sea of Azov region and eastern Ukraine significantly elevates these territories beyond just their geopolitical and military importance. If Russia secures these resource-rich oblasts, it would gain direct access to strategic metals essential for its defense, nuclear energy, and technological sectors. This would not only strengthen Russia's supply chains for nuclear fuel, high-performance batteries, and advanced weaponry but also allow it to further dominate global markets for critical materials needed in aerospace, renewable energy, and electronics.

Additionally, Russia's ability to weaponize mineral exports - as seen with previous restrictions on natural gas and rare earths - would be significantly enhanced, making Europe even more dependent on Russian-controlled resources.

Conversely, if Ukraine retains and develops these mineral deposits, it could establish itself as a major supplier of uranium and thorium for Western nuclear power, reducing reliance on Russian nuclear fuel. Ukraine's cobalt, nickel, and graphite reserves could also play a pivotal role in Europe's battery and renewable energy sectors, helping shift supply chains away from Russia and China. Additionally, expanding domestic gold production would bolster Ukraine's financial stability, providing a crucial economic buffer in the ongoing conflict. The ability to leverage these resources for domestic energy independence, technological advancements, and military applications makes securing these oblasts a matter of national survival and long-term strategic strength for Ukraine.

Overall, the Azov regions, including Crimea and Luhansk, represent significant strategic value due to the presence of monazite and its associated rare earth elements, as well as zircon and titanite, which have critical applications in defense, renewable energy, and high-tech manufacturing. The control and development of these resources would provide economic and strategic advantages, particularly given the global demand for rare earth elements, which are increasingly central to modern technologies and national security.

Sea of Azov

The offshore seabed is believed to contain uranium-bearing sediments, along with REEs, cobalt, and nickel linked to underwater mineralized formations. The Devladvivska deep fault zone running beneath the sea could be a conduit for metals transported from the mantle, meaning future deep-sea mining projects could uncover additional strategic minerals. Controlling the Sea of Azov is not just about trade routes - it's also about securing access to critical untapped resources that could shape the geopolitical balance of the region.

Conclusion

Strategic Risks and Economic Imperatives: Why Ukraine's Fight Matters to American Power and Prosperity

Russia's ongoing aggression in Ukraine is more than just a regional conflict - it is a direct challenge to U.S. global dominance, economic security, and military superiority. If Russia successfully solidifies its hold over Crimea, Kherson, Zaporizhzhia, Donetsk, and Luhansk, the consequences will extend far beyond Eastern Europe. The U.S. and its allies must recognize that this is not just a territorial dispute; it is a calculated attempt by Russia to reshape global power dynamics in its favor.

At stake are not just Ukraine's sovereignty and independence but also the economic future of the U.S. and the West. Ukraine's vast reserves of critical minerals - including uranium, rare earth elements (REEs), nickel, and lithium—are essential for America's energy, defense, and high-tech industries. These resources fuel everything from nuclear energy to electric vehicles to advanced military systems. If Russia gains control over these mineral-rich regions, the U.S. will face a dangerous dependency on an adversarial power that could manipulate supply chains, drive up costs, and weaken American manufacturing and military readiness.

Beyond resources, Ukraine's technological advancements in modern warfare—particularly in drone technology, AI-driven targeting systems, and autonomous military platforms - are revolutionizing battlefield tactics. These innovations have already demonstrated their effectiveness against Russian forces, proving their strategic value. If these cutting-edge technologies fall into Russian hands, it would not only enhance Moscow's military capabilities but also allow it to proliferate these weapons to adversarial states like Iran and North Korea. This would dramatically increase security threats to the U.S. and its allies, making conflicts across the Middle East, East Asia, and Europe far more dangerous and unpredictable.

Russia's ambitions are not limited to Ukraine; they extend to economic and strategic domination over Europe and the Black Sea. By consolidating power over

key transit hubs in Bulgaria, Romania, Türkiye, and Georgia, Russia is positioning itself as the dominant energy supplier, using oil, gas, and nuclear power to hold Europe hostage. If the U.S. allows Russia to control these critical regions, American businesses will face supply chain disruptions, energy price manipulation, and lost market access. This would create a scenario where European economies are forced to align more closely with Moscow, reducing U.S. economic influence and making Western markets vulnerable to Russian economic warfare.

More concerning is Russia's growing nuclear posture in the region. With control over the Black Sea and the Sea of Azov, Moscow would have the ability to deploy nuclear-capable submarines, establish military bases along key maritime routes, and develop infrastructure that could challenge NATO's strategic defense capabilities. This would put the U.S. at a significant disadvantage, making it harder to project power in the region and increasing the risk of nuclear escalation. If Russia continues to expand unchecked, it will not only gain the ability to strike Western targets more effectively but will also embolden other adversarial nations to challenge U.S. interests around the world.

The message is clear: If America fails to act decisively in Ukraine, it will lose more than just geopolitical influence - it will lose economic leverage, technological superiority, and military dominance. Russia is playing the long game, seeking to weaken the U.S. by undermining its economic foundations and global alliances. The cost of inaction will not just be measured in lost territories but in lost industries, weakened supply chains, and increased security risks.

To maintain its position as the world's leading superpower, the United States must commit to strengthening Ukraine's defenses, securing critical mineral supplies, countering Russian economic coercion, and ensuring that Ukraine's cutting-edge military technologies remain in allied hands. This is not just about stopping Putin - it's about securing America's economic future, its military dominance, and its role as the leader of the free world. If the U.S. allows Russia to dictate the terms of this conflict, the consequences will be felt in every boardroom, every factory, and every energy market for decades to come.

References:

213. https://museumkiev.org/public/visnyk/26_2024/pdf/gb2607-naumenko.pdf
214. https://eiti.org/sites/default/files/attachments/ukraine_report-eiti_en_2019_final.pdf
215. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0169136820303620>
216. <https://infcis.iaea.org/udepo/Resources/Countries/Ukraine.pdf>
217. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012825223002830>
218. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359538385_Exploration_and_mining_perspectives_of_the_critical_elements_for_green_technologies_in_Ukraine
219. <https://www.cirsd.org/en/horizons/horizons-winter-2025-issue-no-29/the-mineral-wars>
220. <https://www.icog.es/TyT/index.php/2022/05/the-mineral-resources-of-ukraine/>
221. <https://www.enseccoe.org/publications/ukraines-resources/>
222. <https://antac.org.ua/en/news/analysis-generals-of-titanium-ores-who-controls-ukrainian-strategic-deposits/>
223. <https://brdo.com.ua/en/news/tytan-zolota-zhyla-ekonomiky-ukrayiny-yak-stvoryty-pryvablyvi-investytsijni-umovy-dlya-efektyvnoyi-rozrobky-tytanovyh-pokladiv/>
224. <https://www.marketresearchintellect.com/blog/forging-ahead-how-titanium-is-shaping-the-future-of-aerospace-defense/>
225. https://www.realclearpolicy.com/articles/2021/05/13/us_titanium_supply_chain_needed_for_national_security_776880.html
226. <https://2024.minexeurasia.com/a-new-report-examines-the-strategic-importance-of-titanium-metal-for-the-european-union-economy-27/>
227. https://rmis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/uploads/220616_Briefing_Titanium.pdf

- 228. <https://www.gao.gov/blog/critical-materials-are-high-demand.-what-dod-doing-secure-supply-chain-and-stockpile-these-resources>
- 229. <https://www.lhtitanium.com/knowledge/titanium-alloy-a-strategic-metal-and-performance-enhancer-in-the-military-industry>
- 230. <https://united24media.com/latest-news/ukraines-polokhivske-lithium-deposit-among-largest-in-europe-holding-over-760000-tons-4731>
- 231. <https://antac.org.ua/en/news/analysis-expensive-uranium-and-unclear-lithium-who-controls-ukrainian-strategic-deposits/>
- 232. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/ukraines-lithium-deposits-hidden-300-billion-mining-opportunity-hill-8lvme>
- 233. https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/pdf/2024/56/e3sconf_sep2024_01001.pdf
- 234. <https://www.rferl.org/a/ukraine-lithium-minerals-us-access-kopanky-residents/33331435.html>
- 235. <https://www.encyclopediaofukraine.com/display.asp?linkpath=pages%5CN%5CI%5CNikopolManganeseBasin.htm>
- 236. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nikopol_Ferroalloy_Plant
- 237. <https://essopenarchive.org/users/543345/articles/601827-trace-and-rare-earth-element-geochemistry-of-the-oligocene-nikopol-stratiform-manganese-oxide-hydroxide-ores-ukraine>
- 238. <https://investingnews.com/daily/resource-investing/battery-metals-investing/manganese-investing/manganese-reserves/>
- 239. <https://www.cia.gov/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP79-01093A000800010006-4.pdf>
- 240. <https://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=13027>
- 241. <https://lsindustries.com/what-is-manganese-steel-and-why-do-we-use-it/>
- 242. <https://jadcomfg.com/correct-use-of-manganese/>
- 243. <https://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=23388>
- 244. <https://www.euronews.com/business/2024/06/08/manganese-batteries-could-they-ever-be-the-main-driver-in-the-evs-market>

245. <https://eta.lbl.gov/news/manganese-cathodes-could-boost-lithium-ion-batteries>
246. <https://www.nationaldefensemagazine.org/articles/2018/1/29/some-essential-reading-on-strategic-minerals>
247. <https://www.nsenergybusiness.com/projects/smolinskaya-uranium-mine/>
248. <https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/country-profiles/countries-t-z/ukraine>
249. <https://www.uatom.org/en/uranium-facilities-2>
250. https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/tel-04687866v1/file/DDOC_T_2023_0261_POLIAKOVSKA.pdf
251. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2010/07/uranium-2009_g1g10ae0/uranium-2009-en.pdf
252. <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-163X/13/10/1241>
253. <https://nasu-periodicals.org.ua/index.php/dp/article/view/2020-10-7>
254. <https://infcis.iaea.org/udepo/Report/CountryReports/UA>
255. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/energy-research/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2023.1132611/full>
256. <https://large.stanford.edu/courses/2024/ph241/jurasius1/>
257. <https://www.iaea.org/bulletin/thoriums-long-term-potential-in-nuclear-energy>
258. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Copenhagen_Atomics
259. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/x-energy-threatens-to-pull-out-of-building-nuclear-plants-in-britain-hk99djbps>
260. <https://www.azocleantech.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=1749>
261. <https://engelsbergideas.com/notebook/the-new-great-power-competition-for-minerals/>
262. <https://www.downtoearth.org.in/governance/with-russians-knocking-on-its-door-and-americans-seeking-war-aid-compensation-all-eyes-on-ukraines-minerals>
263. <https://visitukraine.today/fr/blog/1978/ukraines-seized-wealth-how-much-did-russia-take-and-what-are-the->

- [consequences?srsId=AfmBOorAtDBpEJ6LzHHqwZABt3uOYnGdX4pOkGIOsUN1vgly4BuyIRDq#what-other-deposits-did-russia-occupy](#)
264. [https://united24media.com/business/ukraines-mineral-resources-valued-at-15-trillion-are-a-prime-target-for-russia-4057](#)
265. [https://www.eurasiantimes.com/the-high-stakes-mineral-war-russia-seizes-70-of-ukraines/](#)
266. [https://poland.mfa.gov.ua/storage/app/sites/61/pdac-2021-final.pdf](#)
267. [https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/ukraines-lithium-nickel-cobalt-manganese-reserves-new-mykola-siutkin](#)
268. [https://science.howstuffworks.com/environmental/earth/geology/cobalt.htm](#)
269. [https://www.designnews.com/electronics/understanding-the-role-of-cobalt-in-batteries](#)
270. [https://www.samaterials.com/cobalt-in-ev-batteries-advantages-challenges-alternatives.html](#)
271. [https://pubs.usgs.gov/myb/vol3/2020-21/myb3-2020-21-ukraine.pdf](#)
272. [https://aheadoftheherd.com/electrification-metals-nickel-cobalt-palladium-in-play-following-russian-moves-in-eastern-ukraine/](#)
273. [https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3024/pdf/fs2012-3024.pdf](#)
274. [https://carboncredits.com/nickel-power-will-demand-for-evs-drive-supply-to-new-heights-by-2030/](#)
275. [https://nickelinstitute.org/media/I50fpg3w/nickelvol38no3_fall_2023_eng_spreads.pdf](#)
276. [https://www.valencesurfacetech.com/the-news/does-nickel-rust/](#)
277. [https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/engineering/nickel-super-alloy](#)
278. [https://www.icog.es/TyT/index.php/2022/05/the-mineral-resources-of-ukraine/](#)
279. [https://gmcenter/en/posts/how-to-maximize-the-potential-of-the-minings-during-the-war/](#)
280. [https://kyivindependent.com/as-sanctions-bite-russia-eyes-ukraines-mineral-resources-to-fund-its-invasion/](#)

281. <https://www.france24.com/en/live-news/20250204-rare-earths-for-aid-ukraine-s-minerals-threatened-by-war>
282. https://visitukraine.today/blog/5571/coal-iron-ore-and-more-how-rich-is-ukraine-in-natural-resources?srsId=AfmBOorM7Y7_ixQBxJ_4Dv5jjR725BZmLq6mrzNnwUeRmYGhjwaL3yqB
283. <https://www.encyclopediaofukraine.com/display.asp?linkpath=pages%5CD%5CO%5CDonetsBasin.htm>
284. <https://www.hungarianconservative.com/articles/current/us-economic-interests-natural-resources-ukraine-war/>
285. <https://www.reuters.com/graphics/UKRAINE-CRISIS/USA-MINERALS/gdpznylnqpw/>
286. <https://english.elpais.com/international/2025-02-27/keys-to-the-minerals-deal-ukraine-has-critical-metals-but-not-as-many-rare-earths-as-trump-thinks.html>
287. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graphite>
288. <https://ecga.net/main-uses-of-graphite/>
289. <https://ecga.net/green-technology/>
290. <https://www.ft.com/content/b6a5e923-3eb2-4f8a-9b4f-c24999cdfa8c>